

Bayesian games

2. Economic applications

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Economic applications

- Auctions with asymmetric information over **valuations**:
 - **First** price auctions.
 - **Second** price auctions.
- Cournot duopoly with asymmetric information over:
 - Rival's **costs**.
 - **Demand**.
- Public goods with asymmetric information over the rival's **valuation** or **costs**.

Auctions

- Two individuals participate in a closed-envelope auction to buy an object.
- They must choose their bids, b_1 and b_2 , simultaneously, and the object is **sold** to the one with the highest bid. In case of a tie, the winner is selected randomly.
- Valuations are v_1 and v_2 .
- Player i 's utility is:

$$u_i(b_1, b_2; v_1, v_2) = \begin{cases} v_i - p & \text{if } b_i > b_j \\ \frac{v_i - p}{2} & \text{if } b_i = b_j \\ 0 & \text{if } b_i < b_j \end{cases}$$

where p depends on the auction type used to sell the item.

First price auction

- The individual placing the highest bid gets the item. **She pays her bid.**
- **Players:** buyers 1 and 2.
- Possible **actions:** $b_i \in [0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2$.
- **Types:** each buyer has a valuation v_i , that may be their private information.
- Player i 's **utility:**

$$u_i(b_1, b_2; v_1, v_2) = \begin{cases} v_i - b_i & \text{if } b_i > b_j \\ \frac{v_i - b_i}{2} & \text{if } b_i = b_j \\ 0 & \text{if } b_i < b_j \end{cases}$$

First price auction

Let us see a simplified version of a first price auction:

- Buyer 1 may be of **two types**, with equal probabilities, one type values the item at 60 and the other values it at 100.
- Buyer 2 has only **one type**, that values the item at 60.
- Only bids allowed are 40, 60 and 80.
- We will not consider equilibria in weakly dominated strategies.

First price auction

$v_1 = 60$, prob = 0.5

		Player 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Player 1.60	$b_1 = 40$	10, 10	0, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 60$	0, 0	0, 0	0, 20
	$b_1 = 80$	-20, 0	-20, 0	-10, -10

$v_1 = 100$, prob = 0.5

		Player 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Player 1.100	$b_1 = 40$	30, 10	0, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 60$	40, 0	20, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 80$	20, 0	20, 0	10, -10

Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for 1.60 and 1.100.

First price auction

$v_1 = 60$, prob = 0,5

		Player 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Player 1.60	$b_1 = 40$	10, 10	0, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 60$	0, 0	0, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 80$	-20, 0	-20, 0	-10, -10

$v_1 = 100$, prob = 0,5

		Player 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Player 1.100	$b_1 = 40$	30, 10	0, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 60$	40, 0	20, 0	0, -20
	$b_1 = 80$	20, 0	20, 0	10, -10

Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for 2.

First price auction

- Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for Type $v_1 = 60$: $b_1 = 60$ and $b_1 = 80$ are weakly dominated by $b_1 = 40$.
- Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for Type $v_1 = 100$: $b_1 = 40$ is weakly dominated by $b_1 = 60$.
- Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for Player 2: $b_2 = 60$ and $b_2 = 80$ are weakly dominated by $b_2 = 40$.

First price auction

After the first round of elimination of weakly dominated strategies we get:

$$v_1 = 60, \text{ prob} = 0.5$$

Player 2

$$b_2 = 40$$

Player 1.60

$$b_1 = 40$$

10, 10

$$v_1 = 100, \text{ prob} = 0.5$$

Player 2

$$b_2 = 40$$

Player 1.100

$$b_1 = 60$$

40, 0

~~$$b_1 = 80$$~~

20, 0

- Now $b_1 = 80$ is weakly dominated for 1.100.
- We are left with one action for each type, and that will be the **Bayesian equilibrium**: ((40, 60), 40).
- Equilibrium **Payoffs**: Player 1 obtains $0.5 \times 40 + 0.5 \times 10 = 25$, Player 2 obtains $0.5 \times 10 + 0.5 \times 0 = 5$.
- The **auctioneer's expected revenues** are $0.5 \times 40 + 0.5 \times 60 = 50$.

Second price auction

- The player placing the highest bid gets the item. She pays the second highest bid.
- **Players:** buyers 1 and 2.
- Possible **actions** : $b_i \in [0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2$.
- **Types:** each player has a valuation v_i , that may be their private information.
- Player i 's **utility**:

$$u_i(b_1, b_2; v_1, v_2) = \begin{cases} v_i - b_j & \text{if } b_i > b_j \\ \frac{v_i - b_j}{2} & \text{if } b_i = b_j \\ 0 & \text{if } b_i < b_j \end{cases}$$

Second price auction

$v_1 = 60$, prob = 0.5

		Player 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Player 1.60	$b_1 = 40$	10, 10	0, 20	0, 20
	$b_1 = 60$	20, 0	0, 0	0, 0
	$b_1 = 80$	20, 0	0, 0	-10, -10

$v_1 = 100$, prob = 0.5

		Player 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Player 1.100	$b_1 = 40$	30, 10	0, 20	0, 20
	$b_1 = 60$	60, 0	20, 0	0, 0
	$b_1 = 80$	60, 0	40, 0	10, -10

Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for 1.60 and 1.100.

Second price auction

$v_1 = 60, \text{prob} = 0.5$

		Jugador 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Jugador 1.60	$b_1 = 40$	10, 10	0, 20	0, 20
	$b_1 = 60$	20, 0	0, 0	0, 0
	$b_1 = 80$	20, 0	0, 0	-10, -10

$v_1 = 100, \text{prob} = 0.5$

		Jugador 2		
		$b_2 = 40$	$b_2 = 60$	$b_2 = 80$
Jugador 1.100	$b_1 = 40$	30, 10	0, 20	0, 20
	$b_1 = 60$	60, 0	20, 0	0, 0
	$b_1 = 80$	60, 0	40, 0	10, -10

Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for 2.

Second price auction

- Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for Type $v_1 = 60$: $b_1 = 40$ and $b_1 = 80$ are weakly dominated for $b_1 = 60$.
- Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for Type $v_1 = 100$: $b_1 = 40$ and $b_1 = 60$ are weakly dominated for $b_1 = 80$.
- Eliminate weakly dominated strategies for Player 2: $b_2 = 40$ and $b_2 = 80$ are weakly dominated for $b_2 = 60$.
- After the elimination we are left with the **Bayesian equilibrium**: $((60, 80), 60)$.
- The equilibrium expected **payoffs** are:
 - $0.5 \times 0 + 0.5 \times 40 = 20$ for Player 1,
 - $0.5 \times 0 + 0.5 \times 0 = 0$ for Player 2.
- The **auctioneer's expected revenue** is $0.5 \times 60 + 0.5 \times 60 = 60$ (recall that he gets the second price).

Cournot: private info. over costs

- Two firms compete on quantity in a market with demand $p = A - Q$.
- Marginal costs for Firm 1 are either c_A or c_B with probabilities $1/3, 2/3$ and with $c_A > c_B$.
- Marginal cost for Firm 2 are c_2 .
- Each firm **knows its own costs**.
- Firm 1 **knows** the costs for Firm 2.
- Firm 2 **does not know** the costs for Firm 1, but **knows** that they are either c_A or c_B with the above probabilities.

Cournot: private info. over costs

- This is the problem for Firm 1:

- If costs are c_A :

$$\max_{q_A} (A - q_A - q_2)q_A - c_A q_A,$$

$$q_A = \frac{A - q_2 - c_A}{2}.$$

- If costs are c_B :

$$\max_{q_B} (A - q_B - q_2)q_B - c_B q_B,$$

$$q_B = \frac{A - q_2 - c_B}{2}.$$

- Firm 2:

$$\max_{q_2} \frac{1}{3} (A - q_A - q_2 - c_2)q_2 + \frac{2}{3} (A - q_B - q_2 - c_2)q_2,$$

$$q_2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{A - q_A - c_2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{A - q_B - c_2}{2}.$$

- Using the **three reaction functions** we will find the equilibrium.

Cournot: private info. over the demand

- Two firms compete on quantity in a market with demand $p = A - Q$ where A can take values A_A or A_B , with probabilities $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, and $A_A > A_B$.
- Marginal costs for Firm i are c_i .
- Firm 1 **knows** with certainty the value for the demand.
- Firm 2 **does not know** the demand, **but knows that it will be either A_A or A_B** with the above probabilities.

Cournot: private info. over the demand

- This is the problem for Firm 1:

- If demand is A_A :

$$\max_{q_A} (A_A - q_A - q_2)q_A - c_1 q_A,$$

$$q_A = \frac{A_A - q_2 - c_1}{2}.$$

- If demand is A_B :

$$\max_{q_B} (A_B - q_B - q_2)q_B - c_1 q_B,$$

$$q_B = \frac{A_B - q_2 - c_1}{2}.$$

- Firm 2:

$$\max_{q_2} \frac{1}{2} (A_A - q_A - q_2 - c_2)q_2 + \frac{1}{2} (A_B - q_B - q_2 - c_2)q_2,$$

$$q_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_A - q_A - c_2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_B - q_B - c_2}{2}.$$

- Using the **three reaction functions** we will find the equilibrium.

Contributions to a public good

- Two players must decide simultaneously whether to contribute towards the provision of a public good.
- Their **actions** are “contribute” and “do not contribute”.
- Each player gets a **utility** of 3 if they both contribute, of 1 only one decides to contribute and 0 no one contributes.
- For Player 1, **the cost of contributing is $c_1 \in (1,3)$.**
- For Player 2, **the cost of contributing is either $c_A \in (0,1)$ or $c_B \in (1,3)$, with probabilities $2/5$, $3/5$ respectively.**
- Each player **knows** his own costs.
- Player 2 **knows** the costs for Payer 1.
- Player 1 **does not know** the costs for Player 2, but **knows that they must be either c_A or c_B** with the above probabilities.

Contributions to a public good

- **Payoffs** are:

$$t_2 = c_A, \text{ Prob } 2/5$$

		Player 2	
		Contribute	Do not contribute
Player 1	Contribute	$3 - c_1, 3 - c_A$	$1 - c_1, 1$
	Do not contribute	$1, 1 - c_A$	$0, 0$

$$t_2 = c_B, \text{ Prob } 3/5$$

		Player 2	
		Contribute	Do not contribute
Player 1	Contribute	$3 - c_1, 3 - c_B$	$1 - c_1, 1$
	Do not contribute	$1, 1 - c_B$	$0, 0$

Contributions to a public good

- For example, let $c_1 = 1.5$, $c_A = 0.2$ and $c_B = 1.8$:

$c_A = 0.2$, Prob 2/5

		Player 2	
		Contribute	Do not contribute
Player 1	Contribute	1.5, 2.8	-0.5, 1
	Do not contribute	1, 0.8	0, 0

$c_B = 1.8$, Prob 3/5

		Player 2	
		Contribute	Do not contribute
Player 1	Contribute	1.5, 1.2	-0.5, 1
	Do not contribute	1, -0.8	0, 0

Strategies after eliminating “Do not contribute” for 2. c_A :

- 1: (C, N),
- 2: (CC, CN).

Let us build the normal form.

Contributions to a public good

		Player 2	
		CC	CN
Player 1	C	1.5, 1.84	0.3, 1.72
	N	1, -0.16	0.4, 0.32

- There are two EN in pure strategies : (C, CC) and (N, CN), that will also be BNE in pure strategies
- There will also be a third equilibrium in mixed strategies.