

EXTRAORDINARY EXAM
Econometrics
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
23/06/21

Write your name and group in each answer sheet. Answer all the questions in 2:30 hours.

QUESTION 1 (30%)

Consider production data for the year 1994 on 30 US firms in the sector of primary meat industries. For each firm, values are given on production (Y , valued added in millions of dollars), and capital (K , real capital stock in millions of 1987 dollars). A log-linear production function is estimated by *OLS* with the following result (standard errors assuming homoskedasticity in parenthesis).

$$\ln Y_i = \underset{(0.451)}{0.701} + \underset{(0.091)}{0.756} \ln L_i + \underset{(0.110)}{0.242} \ln K_i + \hat{u}_i, \quad RSS = 1.81551, \quad R^2 = 0.956888, \quad (1)$$

with RSS denoting sums of squared residuals. There are also estimated by *OLS* two alternative specifications,

$$\ln Y_i = \underset{(0.358)}{0.010} + \underset{(0.026)}{0.524} \ln (K_i \cdot L_i) + \hat{u}_{1i}, \quad RSS = 2.37214, \quad R^2 = 0.94367, \quad (2)$$

$$\ln \frac{Y_i}{K_i} = \underset{(0.132)}{0.686} + \underset{(0.089)}{0.756} \ln \frac{L_i}{K_i} + \hat{u}_{2i}, \quad RSS = 1.825652, \quad R^2 = 0.95665, \quad (3)$$

where RSS is the sum of squares residuals. Critical values of the standard normal Z : $Z_{0.005} = 2.58$, $Z_{0.01} = 2.33$, $Z_{0.025} = 1.96$, $Z_{0.05} = 1.64$, $Z_{0.1} = 1.28$, where $\mathbb{P}(Z > Z_\alpha) = \alpha$. The critical values of the χ_q^2/q for $q = 1, \dots, 5$ at 5% are $\chi_{1,0.05}^2 = 3.84$, $\chi_{2,0.05}^2/2 = 3.00$, $\chi_{3,0.05}^2/3 = 2.60$, $\chi_{4,0.05}^2/4 = 2.37$, $\chi_{5,0.05}^2/5 = 2.21$.

- a. (1/3) Test that the output elasticities with respect to capital and labor are identical using the R^2 's at 5% of significance. Then, show that the test statistic can be expressed in terms of the RSS 's.
- b. (1/3) Test that the production technology exhibits constant returns to scale. Explain whether or not the test can be carried out either using the R^2 's or the RSS 's.
- c. (1/3) Discuss how you could obtain a 95% confidence region for $\beta_{\ln L}$ and $\beta_{\ln K}$ (confidence ellipse). What additional information do you need? Briefly comment on whether such

a confidence region may assist us in testing

$$H_0 : \beta_{\ln L} = 0.9 \text{ and } \beta_{\ln K} = 0.1 \text{ vs } H_1 : \beta_{\ln L} \neq 0.9 \text{ and/or } \beta_{\ln K} \neq 0.1.$$

Use a graph to illustrate your explanations.

QUESTION 2. (35%)

A researcher has data for 100 workers in a large organization on hourly earnings (*earn*), skill level of the worker (*skill*), and a measure of the worker's intelligence (*IQ*). She hypothesizes that the relation between these variables are given by the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \text{earn} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{skills} + u, \\ \text{skills} &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{IQ} + v, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where u and v are disturbance terms uncorrelated with IQ . The researcher is not sure whether u and v are correlated.

- a. (1/6) Justify whether each variable in the two equations is exogenous or endogenous and derive the reduced form equations for the endogenous variables.
- b. (2/6) Demonstrate mathematically under which circumstances the *OLS* estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$ of β_1 is consistent and under which circumstances is inconsistent.
- c. (2/6) Demonstrate mathematically how the researcher could use instrumental variables (IV) estimation to estimate consistently β_1 .
- d. (1/6) Explain the advantages and disadvantages of using *IV* rather than *OLS*, to estimate β_1 when there is no certainty on the consistency of $\hat{\beta}_1$.

QUESTION 3. (35%)

Our goal is to estimate the causal relationship between house prices and pollution. For this, we have a sample of 506 neighborhoods in the Boston area (USA). We estimate a model that relates the median dollar price of houses in each neighborhood (*price*) with the amount of nitrogen oxide in the area, measured in parts per 100 million (*nox*), controlling for *dist*: the weighted distance from the neighborhood to the five main employment centers, in miles, by *rooms*: the average number of rooms in the houses in the neighborhood, by

crime: the number of crimes committed per capita (calculated as the number of crimes divided by the number of inhabitants multiplied by 100000), and by *stratio*: the average of the ratio of students per teacher in the neighborhood schools. The population model is

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\text{price}) = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(\text{nox}) + \beta_2 \ln^2(\text{nox}) + \beta_3 \text{dist} + \beta_4 \text{dist}^2 + \beta_5 \text{dist} \cdot \ln(\text{nox}) \\ & + \beta_6 \text{rooms} + \beta_7 \text{stratio} + \beta_8 \text{crime} + \beta_9 \text{crime} \cdot \ln(\text{nox}) + u, \end{aligned}$$

where the error u has zero mean, conditional to the explanatory variables considered, and the conditional variance can be a function of the explanatory variables. GRETLM output with the *OLS* estimation of this model with the variance and covariance matrix of the estimated coefficients, as well as the estimation of a transformation, is at the end of the exam. Use the critical values in question 1.

- a. (1/3) Provide a 95% confidence interval for the *price* elasticity with respect to *nox*, for $\text{nox} = 5$, $\text{dist} = 4$, and $\text{crime} = 0.5$.
- b. (1/3) Which is the estimated *dist* value such that the relation between *price* and *dist* changes its sign when $\text{nox} = 5$?
- c. (1/3) Obtain an estimator of the *price* elasticity with respect to *crime* for $\text{nox} = 5$ and $\text{crime} = 0.5$. Then, test at the 1% of significance whether this elasticity is different from zero.

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1–506

Dependent variable: lprice

Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, variant HC1

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-ratio	p-value
const	18.5445	2.37133	7.8203	0.0000
ln(nox)	−8.35034	2.37062	−3.5224	0.0005
ln ² (nox)	1.84037	0.581296	3.1660	0.0016
dist	−0.813055	0.222751	−3.6501	0.0003
dist ²	0.0168214	0.00346286	4.8577	0.0000
dist·lnox	0.382561	0.125710	3.0432	0.0025
rooms	0.242263	0.0236869	10.2277	0.0000
stratio	−0.0461280	0.00490355	−9.4070	0.0000
crime	0.202440	0.0430921	4.6978	0.0000
crime·lnox	−0.113157	0.0227057	−4.9836	0.0000

R^2	0.679808	Adjusted R^2	0.673998
$F(9, 496)$	97.99094	P-value(F)	4.0e−104

Coefficient covariance matrix

	const	ln(nox)	ln ² (nox)	dist	dist ²	
	5.6232	-5.5742	1.3521	-0.50367	0.0064633	const
		5.6199	-1.3739	0.49179	-0.0060575	ln(nox)
			0.33791	-0.11702	0.0014084	ln ² (nox)
				0.049618	-0.00066546	dist
					1.1991e-05	dist ²
dist·ln(nox)	rooms	stratio	crime	crime·ln(nox)		
0.28267	-2.0012e-05	-0.0033857	0.013530	-0.0061238		const
-0.27820	-0.0053622	0.0023673	-0.015960	0.0074156		ln(nox)
0.066446	0.0015378	-0.00045917	0.0045256	-0.0021542		ln ² (nox)
-0.027784	-0.00028577	0.00042420	-0.00055342	0.00018813		dist
0.00034633	-2.6614e-06	-5.6973e-06	1.1637e-05	-5.1086e-06		dist ²
0.015803	0.00023215	-0.00024123	0.00026781	-7.9769e-05		dis·ln(nox)
	0.00056107	4.1068e-05	5.1632e-05	-2.2403e-05		rooms
		2.4045e-05	1.5567e-05	-9.9102e-06		stratio
			0.0018569	-0.00097728		crime
				0.00051555		crime·ln(nox)

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-506

Dependent variable: lprice

Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, variant HC1

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-ratio	p-value
const	18.5445	2.37133	7.8203	0.0000
ln(nox)	-0.952759	0.120556	-7.9030	0.0000
dist	-0.813055	0.222751	-3.6501	0.0003
dist ²	0.0168214	0.00346286	4.8577	0.0000
rooms	0.242263	0.0236869	10.2277	0.0000
stratio	-0.0461280	0.00490355	-9.4070	0.0000
crime	0.202440	0.0430921	4.6978	0.0000
ln(nox)(ln(nox) - 2 · ln(5))	1.84037	0.581296	3.1660	0.0016
ln(nox)(dist - 4)	0.382561	0.125710	3.0432	0.0025
ln(nox)(crime - 0.5)	-0.113157	0.0227057	-4.9836	0.0000
<i>R</i> ²	0.679808	Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.673998	
<i>F</i> (9, 496)	97.99094	P-value(<i>F</i>)	4.0e-104	