## Instrumental Variables (II)

1. We replicate some results in the paper by Angrist and Lavy: "Using Maimonides' Rule to Estimate the Effect of Class Size on Scholastic Achievement", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114, 533-575.

We will use the dataset on 5th grade students, **AngristLavy5.gdt**. The file includes information on average test scores in each class (avgmath and avgverb), the spring class size (classize), beginning-of-the-year enrollment in the school (enrol), a town identifier (towncode), and an index of students' socioeconomic status that the authors call percent disadvantaged (tipuach). This study is limited to pupils in the Jewish public school system, including both secular and religious schools. The authors eliminate observations with class size greater than 44, with enrollment below 6, and with no students taking the tests (mathsize).

The paper exploits the fact that class size in Israel schools is capped at 40 students (what is known as Maimonides' rule), to identify the causal effect of class size on test scores. Maimonides' rule is used to identify the effects of class size because the rule induces a change (discontinuity) in the relationship between enrollment and class size when enrollment reaches certain values. Since this change/discontinuity is the source of identifying information, they define a "discontinuity sample" restricted to schools with enrollments in a range close to the points of discontinuity. This discontinuity sample is defined to include only schools with enrollments in the set of intervals [36,45], [76,85], [116,125].

- i) Restrict the sample imposing the same conditions as the authors.
- ii) Define a dummy variable for the observations in the discontinuity sample. How many classes are part of the discontinuity sample?
- iii) (Extra) Provide the following descriptive statistics for class size: mean, standard deviation, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles.In addition, report average values and standard deviations for: enrollment, percent of disadvantaged, average verbal test scores, and average match test scores for the entire sample and for the discontinuity sample. Compare the results for both groups.
- iv) In Table II the authors compare OLS estimates from three different models. Estimate the three models only for **math test scores**: a simple model with class size as the only regressor, a model controlling also for the percentage of disadvantaged students, and a model controlling both for the percentage of disadvantaged students and enrollment. Comment the results and discuss the potential biases in these regressions.
- v) Compute the predicted average class size in each school *s* based on the "Maimonides" Rule":

$$f_s = \frac{enrol_s}{int\left[\frac{enrol_s-1}{40}\right]+1}$$

where int(n) is the largest integer less than or equal to n.

- vi) In Table III the authors report the reduced-form relationship between predicted class size and also test scores for several specifications. Replicate columns (2) and (6) and comment the results. Column (2) corresponds to a model with class size as the dependent variable and  $f_s$ , the percentage of disadvantaged students and enrollment as controls. Column (6) corresponds to a model with math scores as the dependent variable and  $f_s$ , the percentage of disadvantaged students and enrollment variable and  $f_s$ , the percentage of disadvantaged students and enrollment as controls.
- vii) In Table IV, the authors compare 2SLS estimates from different models using  $f_s$  as an instrument for class size. Compute 2SLS estimates from a model for math test scores controlling for class size, the percentage of disadvantaged students, and enrollment (column (8) in Table IV). Interpret the results and compare the estimated effect of class size with the obtained using OLS.
- viii) A key issue in the problem is to capture the relationship between enrollment and test scores. If the relationship is non-linear the previous model may be wrong. That's why the authors include a quadratic in enrollment as an alternative specification. Estimate the model again including this variable as an additional regressor.
- ix) Estimate using 2SLS the model for math test scores controlling for class size, the percentage of disadvantaged students, and enrollment for the discontinuity sample (column (12) in Table IV). Compare these results with those for the full sample.
- 2. (from Stock and Watson book) During the 1880 decade, a cartel known as the the Joint Executive Committee (JEC, in its original form) controlled the cereal railway transportation from the Midwest cities to the West of USA. The cartel preceded the Sherman Antimonopoly Law, and operated legally to increase the price of the grain above what would have been the competitive price. From time to time, the deceiving of the members of the cartel provoked a temporal collapse in the agreement of collusive price determination. In this exercise, we will use the supply variations associated with the cartel collapse to estimate the demand elasticity of the railway cereal transportation. The data file, JEC contains the weekly observations the price of railway transportation and other factors between 1880 and 1886.

Suppose that the demand line of railway transportation is specified as

$$ln(Q_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * ln(P_i) + \beta_2 Ice_i + \sum_{i=1}^{12} \beta_{2+i} Seas_{j,t} + u_i$$

where  $Q_i$  is the total amount of grain sent in week *i* in tones,  $P_i$  is the price of the transportation of a tone of grain by railway. The variable  $Ice_i$  is a binary variable equal to 1 if the Great Lakes can not be navigated because of ice and the variable  $Seas_j$  is a binary variable that captures the seasonal variation of the demand. The variable Ice is included because the cereal could be equally carried by boat, when the Great Lakes are navigable.

- i) Estimate the demand equation by OLS. What is the estimated value of the demand elasticity and its standard error?
- ii) Explain by the interaction between the supply and the demand could make the OLS estimate of the elasticity were biased.
- iii) Consider the use of the variable cartel as an instrumental variable for  $ln(P_i)$ . Use the economic reasoning to analyze if is likely that the variable cartel satisfies the two conditions for the instrument to be valid.
- iv) Estimate the first stage regression. Is the variable *cartel* a weak instrument?
- v) Estimate the demand equation by instrumental variables regression. Which is the estimated demand elasticity and its standard error?
- vi) Does evidence suggest that the cartel were fixing the price that maximizes the monopoly profit? Explain (Hint: which should the monopolist do if the price elasticity were less than 1?).