

**Macro II (M.E.A., UC3M)**  
**Professor: Matthias Kredler**  
**Problem Set 3**  
**Due: 14 Feb 2020**

You are encouraged to work in groups; however, every student has to hand in his/her own version of the solution.

1. **Value-function iteration in Matlab.** You may hand in solutions as a group for this exercise; the due date for this problem is 21 Feb, i.e. you have one week more than for the other problems. For this exercise, go back to the *Matlab* code you wrote in Problem Set 1.

- (a) Modify the code to iterate on the following operator  $T$ :

$$V_{n+1}(k) = TV_n(k) = \max_{k' \in K} \{ \ln(k^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k - k') + \beta V_n(k') \}$$

where  $K$  is the set of grid points for capital in your code. Make the number of iterations  $n$  arbitrary. On paper, write down in matrix form how you operationalized  $T$  in the code. At how many points did you have to change the code with respect to the exercise in finite-time dynamic programming?

- (b) Now, measure the distance between  $V_{n+1}$  and  $V_n$  by the supremum-norm and store this distance for each  $n$ . Run your loop until  $\|V_{n+1} - V_n\| < \epsilon = 10^{-6}$  (Tip: Have a look at the `while`-loop.). If your code runs too long, relax the convergence criterion or lower the number of grid points. Do the distances shrink as fast as the Banach fixed-point theorem predicts? What is the economic meaning of the limit point you found?

2. **Contractive linear mappings.**

- (a) Consider a firm which makes a deterministic profit  $\pi$  each period and which discounts at a rate  $\beta = 1/(1 + r)$ . Write an operator  $T$ ,  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , that tells us the value of the firm  $V_t$  at time  $t$  given that we know the firm's value  $V_{t+1}$  one period ahead. Using properties of this operator, show that the value of the firm must be

$$V = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \pi = \frac{\pi}{1 - \beta}.$$

- (b) Now, suppose that there is finite number of states of the world  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$  each period in which the firm makes profits  $\pi_1 < \pi_2 < \dots < \pi_n$ , respectively. The transition between those states is stochastic and follows a Markov process, i.e. the current state is the only determinant for the probability distribution over states in the next period:

$$\text{Prob}(s_{t+1} = s_i | s^t = (s_1, \dots, s_j)) = \text{Prob}(s_{t+1} = s_i | s_t = s_j) = p_{ij}.$$

We collect the *transition probabilities*  $p_{ij}$  in an  $n \times n$ -matrix  $P = [p_{ij}]$  (the *transition matrix*). Write an operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  that maps the vector  $V_{t+1} = [V_{t+1}(s_1), \dots, V_{t+1}(s_n)]$  for the value of the firm in the different states of the world at  $t + 1$  into a vector  $V_t$ . Using the properties of this operator, show that there is unique vector  $V$  for the value of the firm and say how this vector can be calculated.

- (c) Now, suppose that there is a continuum of states  $s_t \in [0, 1]$  and an associated profit function  $\pi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is a continuous, strictly increasing function in  $s$  (so we have “ordered” states by their payoffs). Consider the following operator:

$$V_t(s) = TV_{t+1}(s) = \pi(s) + \beta \int_0^1 P(s, r)V_{t+1}(r)dr$$

where  $P(s, r)$  is a continuous function on the unit square and we have  $\int_0^1 P(s, r)dr = 1$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ . The “matrix row”  $f_s(r) \equiv P(s, r)$  gives us the density function of  $r = s_{t+1}$  given that we are in state  $s$  today. Again, show that there is a unique function  $V(s)$  for the value of the firm and say how we can calculate (or approximate) it.

**Note:** The function  $P(\cdot, \cdot)$  is something like a matrix for mapping one function to another; in functional analysis, such a function is called a *kernel*. Note that any such kernel  $P$  gives us a linear operator (try additiveness and scalar multiplication). “Inverting” the kernel  $P$  (or rather the “matrix”  $I + \beta P$ , where  $I$  is the identity) to find the solution to the functional equation directly is a classical problem in functional analysis. Keywords: Fredholm alternatives, Fredholm determinant. Some good books: Peter D. Lax: “Functional Analysis”, a cheaper one: Riesz & Sz.-Nagy: “Functional Analysis”)

3. **Contraction mapping in savings model.** Consider a consumer in infinite time with assets  $a$ . He has no labor income and faces a no-borrowing constraint. Let  $A = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : a \geq 0\}$  be the state space, and let  $C(A)$  be the space of bounded and continuous functions on  $A$ . Consider the following operator  $T$ :

$$(TV)(a) = \max_{a' \in [0, Ra]} \{u(a - a'/R) + \beta V(a')\},$$

where  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $R > 1$  and where  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, bounded, and increasing function. You may use theorems from class to show your results.

- (a) Show that  $T$  maps  $C(A)$  to itself.  
 (b) Show that  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

4. **Counterexamples to maximum theorem and its corollary.** Consider the problem

$$h(x) = \sup_{y \in \Gamma(x)} f(x, y),$$

$$G(x) = \{y \in Y : f(x, y) = h(x)\}.$$

where  $x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y \in Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is some function and  $\Gamma : X \rightrightarrows Y$  is a non-empty correspondence.

- (a) Find examples (i.e. define  $X$ ,  $Y$ ,  $f$ , and  $\Gamma$  and solve for  $h$  and  $G$ ) in which the following occur. In each case, state which assumption(s) of Berge's Maximum Theorem and/or the corollary we saw in class is/are violated
- i. The value function  $h(\cdot)$  is discontinuous.
  - ii. The policy correspondence  $G(x)$  is empty for some  $x \in X$ .
  - iii. The policy correspondence  $G(x)$  is multi-valued for some  $x \in X$ .
  - iv. The policy correspondence  $G(\cdot)$  is single-valued for all  $x$ , but discontinuous at some  $x \in X$ .
- (b) Let all assumptions of the Maximum Theorem hold. Find an example where  $G(x)$  is not lower-hemi-continuous for some  $x \in X$ . Which assumption of the corollary to the Maximum Theorem fails in your example?

5. **Consumption-savings problem with habit formation.** Consider an agent whose current utility depends not only on current consumption  $c_t$ , but also on past consumption; her criterion is

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, c_{t-1}),$$

where  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_{-1} > 0$  is given. Also, the agent is endowed with initial assets  $a_0 > 0$  and receives a fixed income  $y > 0$  each period. Assume that the agent can save at an exogenously-given gross interest rate  $R > 1$  and that there is an exogenous borrowing limit  $\bar{a}$ .

- (a) Bring this problem into dynamic-programming form: What are the state, the control(s), the feasible-set correspondence and the return function?
- (b) Write down the Bellman equation.
- (c) Find the Euler equation and interpret it briefly.