# Estimation and Inference in Linear Models

Jesús Gonzalo

UC3M

October 30, 2017

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

**Estimation and Inference** 

October 30, 2017 1 / 36

э

#### References

- "Asymptotics for Linear Processes" (Phillips and Solo, 1992)
- "Testing the Autocorrelation Structure....." (Cumby and Huizinga, 1992)
- Brockwell, P.J. and R.A. Davis, Time Series: Theory and Methods. New York. SpringerVerlag, second edition, second printing 2009
- Hayashi, F., Econometrics. Princeton University Press, 2000.
- In my web page there are several Eviews applets related to this chapter.

#### Content

- Some asymptotic results
- Estimation and Inference on
  - ► µ
  - $\gamma_k$  and  $\rho_k$
  - the parameter of an AR(1) and of a MA(1)

3

**B-N** decomposition

Beveridge Nelson (B-N) decomposition

$$X_t = C(L)\varepsilon_t = \left[C(1) + (1-L)\widetilde{C}(L)\right]\varepsilon_t$$

What is  $\widetilde{C}(L)$ ?

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \mathcal{L}^j &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j \right) \mathcal{L} + \left( \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j - \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} c_j \right) \mathcal{L}^2 + \dots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j - \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) (1 - \mathcal{L}) - \left( \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j \right) \mathcal{L} (1 - \mathcal{L}) - \left( \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} c_j \right) \mathcal{L}^2 (1 - \mathcal{L}) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j + (1 - \mathcal{L}) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_j \mathcal{L}^j \end{split}$$

with  $\tilde{c}_j = -\sum_{s=j+1}^{\infty} c_s$ .

3

B-N decomposition

# $\underline{\text{Lemma}}$ Let $\widetilde{C}(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_j \mathcal{L}^j \text{ and } \widetilde{c}_j = \sum_{s=j+1}^{\infty} c_s.$ Then, (a) $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^{\frac{1}{2}} |c_j| < \infty \implies \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_j^2 < \infty \quad \left( \Leftarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 c_j^2 < \infty \right),$ $\uparrow$ (b) $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j |c_j| < \infty \implies \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\widetilde{c}_j| < \infty.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 ののの

Law of Large Numbers Theorem (SLLN for m.d.s.)

Let  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  be a m.d.s. with

$$\mathbb{V}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma_t^2 < \infty, \qquad \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_t^2}{t^2} < \infty.$$

Then 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

 $\frac{\text{Theorem (SLLN for Linear Processes)}}{\text{If } X_t = C(L)\varepsilon_t \text{ with } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 c_j^2 < \infty \text{ and } \{\varepsilon_t\} \text{ is a m.d.s. with}} \sup_t \mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_t|^2] < \infty,$ 

then  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} X_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Law of Large Numbers Proof (SLLN for Linear Processes)

$$X_t = C(1)\varepsilon_t + (1-L)\widetilde{C}(L)\varepsilon_t$$
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^n X_t = C(1)\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t}{n} - \frac{1}{n}\left(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \widetilde{\varepsilon}_n\right),$$

where  $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j = \widetilde{C}(L)\varepsilon_j$ . By the SLLN for m.d.s.

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\varepsilon_{t}\xrightarrow{a.s.}0.$$

Now we only have to prove that

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0-\widetilde{\varepsilon}_n\right)\stackrel{a.s.}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Law of Large Numbers Proof (SLLN for Linear Processes) (cont.)

Take for instance  $\frac{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_n}{n}$ :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|}{n} > \delta\right) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2\right]}{n^2 \delta^2} = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_j^2\right) k < \infty$$
  
by Markov's Inequality, where  $k = \sup_t \mathbb{E}\left[|\varepsilon_t|^2\right] < \infty$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_j^2 < \infty$ .  
By Borel-Cantelli Lemma  $\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ .

Reminder:

• Markov's Inequality 
$$P(|X| \ge \varepsilon) \le rac{\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right]}{\varepsilon^p}$$
 if  $\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right] < \infty$  and  $p > 0$ .

• Borel-Cantelli Lemma 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| \ge \varepsilon) < \infty \implies X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X.$$

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

**Estimation and Inference** 

#### Central Limit Theorems Theorem (CLT for m.d.s.)

Let  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  be a strictly stationary and ergodic m.d.s. with

$$\mathbb{V}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma^2 < \infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \varepsilon_t}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).$$

Theorem (CLT for Linear processes)

If  $X_t = C(L)\varepsilon_t$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j|c_j| < \infty$  and  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  a strictly stationary and ergodic m.d.s. with  $\mathbb{V}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , then

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t}}{n} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, \ \underline{C(1)^{2} \sigma^{2}})$$

Long run variance

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

Central Limit Theorems Proof (CLT for Linear processes)

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n X_t}{\sqrt{n}} = C(1)\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \widetilde{\varepsilon}_n\right),\\ C(1)\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, C(1)^2 \sigma^2) \quad \text{by CLT for m.d.s.} \end{split}$$

We need to prove that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \widetilde{\varepsilon}_n) = o_p(1)$ .

Notice that we cannot use now the Borel-Cantelli (WHY?) argument used to prove the SLLN. This can be done by proving  $\mathbb{E}[|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|] < \infty$  and then applying Markov's inequality (HOW?).

$$\mathbb{E}[|\widetilde{\varepsilon}_n|] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_j \varepsilon_{n-j}\right|\right] \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\widetilde{c}_j| \mathbb{E}\left[|\varepsilon_{n-j}|\right] < \infty.$$

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

Central Limit Theorems

Example: AR(1)

$$X_t = \varphi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

and  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is a m.d.s. satisfying the conditions of the previous theorem.

$$\mathcal{C}(1) = rac{1}{1-arphi}, \quad ext{then} \quad \sqrt{n}ar{X}_n \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\left(0, rac{\sigma^2}{(1-arphi)^2}
ight)$$

or

$$\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbb{V}[X_t]\frac{1+\varphi}{1-\varphi}\right)$$

because  $\mathbb{V}[X_t] = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\varphi^2}$ . Notice the problem when  $\varphi = 1$ .

#### Sample Correlations

Let  $\widehat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (X_t - \overline{X}_n) (X_{t+h} - \overline{X}_n)$  and  $\widehat{\rho}(h) = \frac{\widehat{\gamma}(h)}{\widehat{\gamma}(0)}$  for  $0 \le h \le n-1$ .

#### <u>Theorem</u>

If  $\{X_t\}$  is the stationary process

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon_{t-j}, \qquad \{\varepsilon_t\} \sim iid(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t^4] < \infty$ , then for each  $h \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\rho}(h)-\rho(h)) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{W}),$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{
ho}}(h) = egin{bmatrix} \hat{
ho}(1) & \hat{
ho}(2) & \cdots & \hat{
ho}(h) \end{bmatrix}'$$
 and  $\boldsymbol{
ho}(h) = egin{bmatrix} 
ho(1) & 
ho(2) & \cdots & 
ho(h) \end{bmatrix}'$ 

and  $\boldsymbol{W}$  is the covariance matrix whose (i, j) element is given by Bartlett's formula.

#### Sample Correlations

- The condition  $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t^4] < \infty$  can be relaxed at the expense of  $\sum |j|\psi_j^2 < \infty$ .
- Bartlett's formula:

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \rho(k+i)\rho(k+j) + \rho(k-i)\rho(k+j) + 2\rho(i)\rho(j)\rho(k)^2 - 2\rho(i)\rho(k)\rho(k+j) - 2\rho(j)\rho(k)\rho(k+i) \right\}$$

Simple algebra shows that

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \rho(k+i) + \rho(k-i) - 2\rho(i)\rho(k) \right\} \left\{ \rho(k+j) + \rho(k-j) - 2\rho(j)\rho(k) \right\}$$

and  $w_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\rho_{i+k} + \rho_{i-k} - \rho_i \rho_k)^2$ .

• Confidence intervals will be formed by

$$\pm C_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{w_{ii}}{n}} \qquad \text{with } C_{\alpha} = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

Sample Correlations Examples:

Jes

• 
$$w_{ii} = 1$$
  $\left(\pm 1.96\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ for } 95\%\right)$  (WN)  
•  $w_{ii} = 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{q} \rho^{2}(i), \text{ for } i > q$  (MA(q))  
 $\pm 1.96\sqrt{\frac{1+2\rho(1)^{2}}{n}}, \text{ for } i > 1$  (MA(1))  
•  $w_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \phi^{2i}(\phi^{-k} - \phi^{k})^{2} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \phi^{2k}(\phi^{-i} - \phi^{i})^{2}$  (AR(1))  
 $= (1 - \phi^{2i})(1 + \phi^{2})(1 - \phi^{2})^{-1} - 2i\phi^{2i}$   
 $\approx (1 + \phi^{2})/(1 - \phi^{2})$  for *i* large.

Suppose  $\{X_t\}$  is a stationary autoregressive process of order one satisfying

$$X_t = \varphi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t,$$

where  $|\varphi| < 1$  and  $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim \textit{iid}, \ \mathbb{V}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma^2 < \infty.$ 

Then  $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$  is strictly stationary and ergodic.

▲口▶ ▲掃▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のなべ

Let

$$\widehat{\varphi} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^{n} X_t X_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^{n} X_{t-1}^2} = \varphi + \frac{\sum_{t=2}^{n} X_{t-1} \varepsilon_t}{\sum_{t=2}^{n} X_{t-1}^2}.$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\varphi}-\varphi\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{t=2}^{n}X_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t}}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=2}^{n}X_{t-1}^{2}}$$

and, by the Ergodic Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=2}^{n}X_{t-1}^{2}\xrightarrow{a.s.}\mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{2}]=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-\varphi^{2}}.$$

э

Estimation and Inference of an AR(1) Observe that  $Z_t = \varepsilon_t X_{t-1}$  is a m.d.s. w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, ...)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_t | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, ...] = X_{t-1} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t] = 0.$ 

 $\{Z_t\}$  as a function of  $\{\varepsilon_t\}, \{X_{t-1}\}$  is clearly strictly stationary and ergodic,

$$\mathbb{V}[\varepsilon_t X_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t^2 X_{t-1}^2] = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[X_{t-1}^2] = \frac{\sigma^4}{1 - \varphi^2} < \infty.$$

Applying the CLT for m.d.s. gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{t=2}^{n}X_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t}\overset{d}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{N}\left(0,\frac{\sigma^{4}}{1-\varphi^{2}}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\varphi}_n-\varphi) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0,1-\varphi^2).$$

What if  $\phi = 1$ ? Problem again.

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

$$Y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}, \qquad |\theta| < 1, \quad \{\varepsilon_t\} \sim iid(0, \sigma^2).$$

The first estimator can be obtained from ρ<sub>1</sub> = θ/(1 + θ<sup>2</sup>).
Estimating ρ<sub>1</sub> by

$$\widehat{\rho}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^{n} (Y_{t} - \bar{Y}_{n}) (Y_{t-1} - \bar{Y}_{n})}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (Y_{t} - \bar{Y}_{n})^{2}}$$

we obtain

$$\widehat{\theta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\widehat{\rho}_1}}{2\widehat{\rho}_1} & \text{if } 0 < |\widehat{\rho}_1| < 0.5, \\ -1 & \text{if } \widehat{\rho}_1 < -0.5, \\ 1 & \text{if } \widehat{\rho}_1 > 0.5, \\ 0 & \text{if } \widehat{\rho}_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

- More efficient estimator can be obtained by LS or by ML.
- By LS:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_t &= -\theta \varepsilon_{t-1} + Y_t, \\ Y_t &= -\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (-\theta)^j Y_{t-j} - (-\theta)^t \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_t = f_t(Y;\theta,\varepsilon_0) + \varepsilon_t, \end{split}$$

where

$$f_1(Y;\theta,\varepsilon_0) = \theta\varepsilon_0,$$
  
$$f_t(Y;\theta,\varepsilon_0) = -\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (-\theta)^j Y_{t-j} - (-\theta)^t \varepsilon_0.$$

э

LS for  $Y_t = f_t(Y; \theta, \varepsilon_0) + \varepsilon_t$  is inside the classical NLS set-up that you have learned in previous econometric courses. In fact because we can obtain an initial consistent estimator of  $\theta^0$ , we will obtain our asymptotic results from a one-step minimization procedure (one-step Gauss-Newton estimator).

Let's assume we have an initial estimator  $\tilde{\theta}$  satisfying

$$ilde{ heta} - heta = o_{
ho}(n^{-rac{1}{4}}) \quad ext{and} \quad ilde{arepsilon}_0 = O_{
ho}(1).$$

The one-step Gauss-Newton estimator of  $\theta$  is obtained by regressing

$$arepsilon_t(Y; ilde{ heta}) = Y_t - f_t(Y; ilde{ heta}, ilde{arepsilon}_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (- ilde{ heta})^j Y_{t-j} + (- ilde{ heta})^t ilde{arepsilon}_0$$

on the first derivative of  $f_t(Y; \theta, \varepsilon_0)$  evaluated at  $\theta = \tilde{\theta}$ .

That derivative is

$$W_t(Y;\tilde{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 & \text{for } t = 1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} j(-\tilde{\theta})^{j-1} Y_{t-j} + t(-\tilde{\theta})^{t-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 & \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Regressing  $\varepsilon_t(Y; \tilde{\theta})$  on  $W_t(Y; \tilde{\theta})$  we obtain an estimator of  $\theta - \tilde{\theta}$ . The improved estimator of  $\theta$  is then

$$\widehat{\theta} = \widetilde{\theta} + \Delta \widehat{\theta}, \tag{*}$$

where

$$\Delta \widehat{\theta} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{n} W_t(Y; \widetilde{\theta})^2\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \varepsilon_t(Y; \widetilde{\theta}) W_t(Y; \widetilde{\theta}).$$

Theorem (Asymptotic normality for MA(1)

Let  $Y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta^0 \varepsilon_{t-1}$ , where  $|\theta^0| < 1$  and  $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim iid(0, \sigma^2)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_t|^{2+r}] < L < \infty$  for some r > 0.

Let  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  be initial estimators satisfying  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = O_p(1)$ ,  $\tilde{\theta} - \theta = o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{4}})$ , and  $|\tilde{\theta}| < 1$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\theta}_n - \theta^0) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\left(0, 1 - (\theta^0)^2\right),$$

where  $\widehat{\theta}$  is defined in (\*). Also,  $\widehat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{p} (\sigma^0)^2$ , where  $\sigma^0$  is the true value of  $\sigma$  and  $\widehat{\sigma}^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t^2(Y; \widehat{\theta})$ .

Again a problem with unity ( $\theta^0 = 1$ ).

Hannan-Rissanen algorithm

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_{t} = Y_{t} - \text{LinearProjection}[Y_{t}|Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots],$$

$$Y_{t} = \theta \widehat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} + u_{t}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \widehat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^{n} Y_{t} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^{n} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^{2}}.$$

Check the performance of this alternative estimator (in my web page there is an Eviews applet for this).

Box-Pierce with the true errors Basic idea: use the correlations

$$\widehat{\rho}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{t=i+1}^{n} Y_{t} Y_{t-i}}{\sum_{t=i+1}^{n} Y_{t-i}^{2}}$$

to test

$$H_0: Y_t = \varepsilon_t$$
 white noise.

By the CLT on correlations we have

1.

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_k - \boldsymbol{\rho}_k) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, \underset{k \times k}{W})$$

Under  $H_0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{\rho}_k \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, \underset{k \times k}{l}).$$

Therefore,

$$n\sum_{k=1}^{k} (\hat{\rho}_{j})^{2} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \chi_{k}^{2} \equiv Q$$
 - Box-Pierce statistic.

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

Box-Pierce with the true errors

- If we had fitted an ARMA(p,q), the number of degrees of freedom would have been k - (p + q).
- This test is testing

$$\begin{aligned} &H_0: \ \mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}(y_t,y_{t-j}) = 0 \quad j = 1,\ldots,k, \\ &H_1: \ \mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}(y_t,y_{t-j}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for at least one } j. \end{aligned}$$

A modification

$$Q^* = n(n+2)\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\hat{\rho}_j^2}{n-j}$$

is the Ljung-Box statistic.

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals

• A more realistic case is to assume that we have a model

$$y_t = x'_t \beta + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, n$$

and we want to test if the errors from this model are white noise. • We do not observe the errors but the residuals,  $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$ .

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_{j} \equiv \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{j}}{\hat{\gamma}_{0}} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{j} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} \varepsilon_{t} \varepsilon_{t-j} \\ \hat{\rho}_{j} \equiv \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{j}}{\hat{\gamma}_{0}} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{j} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j} \end{cases}$$
(Now)

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals

Is it right to use ρ̂<sub>j</sub> (calculated from the residuals) and the residual-based Q statistics derived from {ρ̂<sub>j</sub>} for testing for serial correlation?
 Yes, but only if the regressors are strictly exogenous:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i|\boldsymbol{X}] = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- This assumption is too strong for time series data. The strict exogeneity assumption implies that for any regressor k, E[x<sub>jk</sub>ε<sub>i</sub>] = 0, ∀i, j, not only i = j.
- For instance, an AR(1) model:

$$y_i = \beta y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
$$\mathbb{E}[y_i \varepsilon_i] = \beta \mathbb{E}[y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i] + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2] \neq 0.$$

So, the regressor is not orthogonal to the **past** error term ( $y_i$  is the regressor for observation i + 1).

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

October 30, 2017 27 / 36

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals

$$\begin{split} \hat{\gamma}_{j} &\equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} \left[ \varepsilon_{t} - x_{t}'(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \right] \left[ \varepsilon_{t-j} - x_{t-j}'(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \right] \\ &= \gamma_{j} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} (x_{t-j} \varepsilon_{t} + x_{t} \varepsilon_{t-j})'(\hat{\beta} - \beta) + (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} x_{t} x_{t-j}' \right) (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \end{split}$$

• If  $\mathbb{E}[x_t \varepsilon_{t-j}]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[x_{t-j} \varepsilon_t]$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[x_t x'_{t-j}]$  are all finite, then because  $\hat{\beta} - \beta \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , we have

$$\hat{\gamma}_j - \gamma_j \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

• However  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma}_j - \gamma_j) \not\rightarrow 0$ 

-

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals

$$\bullet \sqrt{n}\hat{\gamma}_{j} = \sqrt{n}\gamma_{j} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=j+1}^{n} (x_{t-j}\varepsilon_{t} + x_{t}\varepsilon_{t-j})' \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}_{O_{p}(1)} + \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'}_{O_{p}(1)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=j+1}^{n} x_{t}x'_{t-j}\right)}_{O_{p}(1)} \underbrace{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}_{O_{p}(1)} \underbrace{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}_{O_{p}(1)} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[x_{t}\varepsilon_{t-j}]}_{O_{p}(1)} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[x_{t-j}\varepsilon_{t}] + \mathbb{E}[x_{t}\varepsilon_{t-j}]}_{\text{if all the regressors}}$$

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals

- What if the regressors are predetermined but not strictly exogenous?
- Predetermined regressors:

$$\mathbb{E}[x_{ik}\varepsilon_i]=0 \quad i=1,\ldots,n, \ k=1,\ldots,K.$$

- The AR(1) satisfies this assumption.
- When the regressors are not strictly exogenous, we need to modify the Q statistic to restore its asymptotic distribution. For this purpose we will impose two restrictions
  - Stronger form of predeterminedness

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t|\varepsilon_{t-1},\varepsilon_{t-2},\ldots,x_t,x_{t-1},\ldots]=0.$$

Stronger form of homoskedasticity

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t^2|\varepsilon_{t-1},\varepsilon_{t-2},\ldots,x_t,x_{t-1},\ldots] = \sigma^2 > 0.$$

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals Proposition (testing for serial correlation with predetermined regressors)

Suppose 
$$y_t = x'_t \beta_{1 \times 1} + \varepsilon_t, t = 1, 2, \dots n$$
, with

•  $\{y_t, x'_t\}$  jointly stationary and ergodic,

• 
$$\mathbb{E}[x_t x_t'] = \Sigma_{xx}$$
 full rank,

• 
$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t|\varepsilon_{t-1},\varepsilon_{t-2},\ldots,x_t,x_{t-1},\ldots]=0,$$

• 
$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t^2|\varepsilon_{t-1},\varepsilon_{t-2},\ldots,x_t,x_{t-1},\ldots] = \sigma^2 > 0.$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{\gamma} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^4(I_p - \Phi)), \qquad \sqrt{n}\hat{\rho} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, I_p - \Phi),$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_p)', \qquad \hat{\rho} = (\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, \dots, \hat{\rho}_p)'$$

and  $\phi_{jk}$ , (j, k) element of  $p \times p$  matrix  $\Phi$ , is given by

 $\phi_{jk} = \mathbb{E}[x_t \varepsilon_{t-j}]' \mathbb{E}[x_t x_t']^{-1} \mathbb{E}[x_t \varepsilon_{t-k}] / \sigma^2.$ 

Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)

Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelation calculated from residuals  $\underline{Proof}$ 

Hayashi (p. 165)

 By the Ergodic theorem, matrix Φ is consistently estimated by its sample counterpart Φ̂ ≡ (φ̂<sub>j,k</sub>) with

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,k} \equiv \hat{\mu}_j' S_{\scriptscriptstyle XX}^{-1} \hat{\mu}_k / s^2, \qquad j,k = 1,2,\ldots,p,$$

where

$$s^2 \equiv rac{1}{n-K}\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_t^2, \quad \hat{\mu}_j \equiv rac{1}{n}\sum_{t=j+1}^n x_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j}.$$

• From last proposition we have

modified Box-Pierce 
$$Q \equiv n\hat{\rho}'(I_p - \hat{\Phi})^{-1}\hat{\rho} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \chi^2_{\rho}$$
.

An Auxiliary Regression-Based Test:

• Regress 
$$\hat{\varepsilon}_t$$
 on  $x_t, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-2}, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-p}$   
•  $pF \sim \chi_p^2$ 

where F stands for the F statistic for the hypothesis that the p coefficients of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-2}, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-p}$  are all zero

• Also  $nR^2 \sim \chi_p^2$ .

# Appendix

#### (Cumby & Huizinga, 1992)

Let

$$y_t = X_t \delta + \epsilon_t (t = 1, ..., T)$$
 and  $\hat{\epsilon}_t = y_t - X_t d.$ 

• By a mean value theorem

$$\sqrt{T}\hat{r} = \sqrt{T}r + \frac{\partial r}{\partial \delta}\sqrt{T}(d-\delta).$$

#### Proposition:

 $\sqrt{T}\hat{r} \sim N(0, V_{\hat{r}})$  with  $V_{\hat{r}} = V_r + BV_dB' + CD'B' + BCD'.$ 

• In general having to estimate the residuals will affect the asymptotic distribution of their sample autocorrelations. One special case is when X only contains lagged dependent variables and the errors are conditionally homokedasticity. In this case the Box-Pierce statistic  $Q_s = T\hat{r}'\hat{r}$  will asymptotically as a chi-squared random variable with s - k degrees of freedom (s=number of correlations, and r= number of lags in the regression).

Appendix (Cumby & Huizinga, 1992)

Ljung (1986) investigates how large s must be before Q ≈ χ<sup>2</sup><sub>s-k</sub>. In samples of 50 or 100 observations, s ≥ 10 is sufficient for all AR(1) models and s ≥ 2 is sufficient for AR(1) models with |φ| < 0.9.</li>

- \* 同 \* \* ヨ \* \* ヨ \* - ヨ

# Appendix

Distributions of empirical sizes  $X_t = 0.8X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, 2, ..., n, \quad \{\varepsilon_t\} \sim ii\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ 

• Simulating values of Q for p = 2, ..., 20 and comparing with  $\chi^2_{p-1}$ 



Jesús Gonzalo (UC3M)