

# Estimation and Inference

- Some asymptotic results based on m.d.s
  - \* Estimation-Inference on  $\mu$
  - \* Estimation-Inference on  $\sigma_k, \beta_k$
  - \* Estimation-Inference on the parameters of an AR(1) and of a MA(1)

## Some Asymptotic Results

B-N decomposition:

$$X_t = C(L) \varepsilon_t = [C(1) + (1-L) \tilde{C}(L)] \varepsilon_t$$

What is  $\tilde{C}(L)$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j L^j &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j \right) L \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j - \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} c_j \right) L^2 \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j - \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) (1-L) \\ &\quad - \left( \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j \right) L(1-L) \\ &\quad - \left( \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} c_j \right) L^2(1-L) \\ &= \boxed{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j} + \boxed{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_j L^j} (1-L) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{c}_j = -\sum_{s=j+1}^{\infty} c_s$ .

Lemma:  $\tilde{C}(L) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_j L^j ; \quad \tilde{c}_j = \sum_{s=j+1}^{\infty} c_s$ .

(a)  $\sum_0^\infty |j|^{1/2} |c_j| < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_0^\infty \tilde{c}_j^2 < \infty \quad (\because \sum_0^\infty j^2 c_j^2 < \infty)$

(b)  $\sum_0^\infty |j|^{-1} |c_j| < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_0^\infty |\tilde{c}_j| < \infty$

## Law of Large Numbers

Thm (SLLN for m.d.s): Let  $\varepsilon_t$  be a m.d.s with

$$V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_t^2 < \infty$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_t^2}{t^2} < \infty$$

Then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{a.s} 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

## Thm (SLLN for Linear Processes)

If  $X_t = C(L) \varepsilon_t$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 C_j^2 < \infty$

and  $\varepsilon_t$  a m.d.s with

$$\sup_t E|\varepsilon_t|^2 < \infty,$$

then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t \xrightarrow{a.s} 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof:

$$X_t = C(1) \varepsilon_t + (1-L) \tilde{C}(L) \varepsilon_t$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t = C(1) \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t}{n} + \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_n)$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\varepsilon}_j = \tilde{C}(L) \varepsilon_j$$

By the SLN for m.d.s

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \tilde{\varepsilon}_t \xrightarrow{a.s} 0$$

Now we only have to prove that

$$\frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_n) \xrightarrow{a.s} 0$$

Take for instance  $\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n}{n}$ :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n}{n}\right| > \delta\right) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2\right) K < \infty$$

By Markov's Inequality

$$(P(|X| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{E|X|^p}{\varepsilon^p} \text{ if } E|X|^p < \infty \text{ and } p > 0)$$

where  $K = \sup_t E(|\varepsilon_t|^2) < \infty$  and  $\sum_j \tilde{c}_j^2 < \infty$ .

By Borel-Cantelli Lemma  $\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s} 0$

$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n(w) - X(w)| \geq \varepsilon) < \infty, \text{ then } X_n \xrightarrow{a.s} X\right)$

## Central Limit Theorems

Thm (CLT for m.d.s) Let  $\varepsilon_t$  be a strictly stationary and ergodic m.d.s with  $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ .

Then 
$$\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

## Thm (CLT for linear processes)

If  $X_t = C(L) \varepsilon_t$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| < \infty$

and  $\varepsilon_t$  a strictly stationary and ergodic m.d.s with  $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ ,

then

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n X_t}{n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \underbrace{C(1)}_{\text{ESTIMATE}} \sigma^2)$$

• LONG RUN VARIANCE

## Proof

$$\sqrt{n} \bar{X}_n = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n X_t}{\sqrt{n}} = C(1) \underbrace{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t}{\sqrt{n}}}_{\text{By CLT for m.d.s}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_n)$$

By CLT for m.d.s

$$\downarrow d \\ N(0, C(1) \sigma^2)$$

We need to prove that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_T) = o_p(1)$

Notice that we cannot use now the Borel-Cantelli argument used to prove the SLLN.

This will be done by proving  $E|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n| < \infty$  and then applying Markov's inequality

$$E|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n| = E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_j \varepsilon_{n-j} \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{c}_j| E|\varepsilon_{n-j}| < \infty$$

Example: AR(1):  $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

and  $\varepsilon_t$  a m.d.s satisfying the conditions of the previous theorem

$$(1) = \frac{1}{1-\phi L}, \text{ then } \sqrt{n}(\bar{x}_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{(1-\phi)^2})$$

or

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V(x_t) \frac{1+\phi}{1-\phi})$$

because  $V(x_t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}$ .

Notice the problem when  $\phi=1$ .

## Some Results for sample correlations

$$\hat{g}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (x_t - \bar{x}_n)(x_{t+h} - \bar{x}_n) \quad 0 \leq h \leq n-1$$

and

$$\hat{g}(h) = \frac{\hat{g}(h)}{\hat{g}(0)}$$

Thm: If  $\{x_t\}$  is the stationary process

$$x_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon_{t-j} \quad \{ \varepsilon_t \} \sim \text{iid}(0, \sigma^2)$$

where  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$  and  $(\star) \quad \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_t^4 < \infty$ , then

for each  $h \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  we have

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{g}(h) - g(h)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, W)$$

where

$$\hat{g}(h) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{g}(1) \\ \hat{g}(2) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{g}(h) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g(h) = \begin{bmatrix} g(1) \\ g(2) \\ \vdots \\ g(h) \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $W$  is the covariance matrix whose  $(i-j)$  element is given by Bartlett's formula

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ g(k+i) g(k+j) + g(k-i) g(k+j) + 2 g(i) g(j) g(k) \right.$$

$$\left. - 2 g(i) g(k) g(k+j) - 2 g(i) g(k) g(k+i) \right\}$$

(\*) This condition can be relaxed at the expense of  $\sum |j| |\psi_j| < \infty$ .

Simple algebra shows

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ g(k+i) + g(k-i) - 2g(i)g(k) \} \\ \times \{ g(k+j) + g(k-j) - 2g(j)g(k) \}$$

and

$$W_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (g_{i+k} + g_{i-k} - 2g_i g_k)^2.$$

Confidence intervals will be formed by

$$\boxed{\pm C_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{W_{ii}}{n}}}$$

with  $C_\alpha = C\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  from  
 $N(0, 1)$

### Examples

White noise :  $W_{ii} = 1$        $\pm 1.96 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$   $95\%$

MA(q) :  $W_{ii} = [1 + 2g^2(1) + 2g^2(2) + \dots + 2g^2(q)]$   $i > q$

MA(1) :  $\pm 1.96 \sqrt{[1 + 2\hat{g}^2(1)]}$   $i > 1$

AR(1) :  $W_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^i \phi^{2k} (\phi^{-k} - \phi^k)^2 + \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \phi^{2k} (\phi^{-i} - \phi^i)^2$

$$= (1 - \phi^{2i}) (1 + \phi^2) (1 - \phi^2)^{-1} - 2 \sum_{i=1,2} \phi^{2i}$$

$\simeq (1 + \phi^2) / (1 - \phi^2)$  for  $i$  large

## Estimation and Inference of an AR(1)

Suppose  $X_t$  is a stationary autoregressive process of order one satisfying

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $|\phi| < 1$  and  $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{iid } V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ .

Then  $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$  is strictly stationary and ergodic.

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{\sum x_t x_{t-1}}{\sum x_{t-1}^2} = \phi + \frac{\sum x_{t-1} \varepsilon_t}{\sum x_{t-1}^2}$$

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\phi} - \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n x_{t-1} \varepsilon_t}{\frac{1}{n} \sum x_{t-1}^2}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_{t-1}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E(x_{t-1}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} \text{ by the Ergodic Theorem}$$

Observe that  $Z_t = \varepsilon_t x_{t-1}$  is a m.d.s with respect to  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots)$

$$E[Z_t | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots] = x_{t-1} E(\varepsilon_t) = 0.$$

$Z_t$  as a function of  $\varepsilon_t, y_{t-1}$  is clearly strictly stationary and ergodic.

$$V(\varepsilon_t x_{t-1}) = E(\varepsilon_t^2 x_{t-1}^2) = \sigma^2 E(x_{t-1}^2) = \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2} < \infty$$

Applying the CLT for m.d.s

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}) .$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\phi}_n - \phi) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1-\phi^2)$$

What if  $\phi = 1$ ? Problem again

## Estimation and Inference MA(1)

$$Y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1} \quad (\theta| < 1, \varepsilon_t \text{ iid}(0, \sigma^2))$$

The first estimator can be obtained from

$$\hat{\rho}_1 = \frac{\theta}{(1+\theta^2)}.$$

Estimating  $\hat{\rho}_1$  by

$$\hat{\rho}_1^1 = \frac{\sum (Y_t - \bar{Y}_n)(Y_{t-1} - \bar{Y}_n)}{\sum (Y_t - \bar{Y}_n)^2}$$

we obtain

$$\hat{\theta}_r = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - [1 - 4\hat{\rho}_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\hat{\rho}_1} & \text{if } |\hat{\rho}_1| < 0.5 \\ -1 & \text{if } \hat{\rho}_1 < -0.5 \\ 1 & \text{if } \hat{\rho}_1 > 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{if } \hat{\rho}_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

More efficient estimator can be obtained by LS or by ML.

By LS:

$$\varepsilon_t = -\theta \varepsilon_{t-1} + Y_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= -\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (-\theta)^j Y_{t-j} - (-\theta)^t \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_t \\ &= \boxed{f_t(Y; \theta, \varepsilon_0) + \varepsilon_t} \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_t(Y; \theta, \varepsilon_0) = \theta \varepsilon_0$

$$f_t(Y; \theta, \varepsilon_0) = -\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (-\theta)^j Y_{t-j} - (-\theta)^t \varepsilon_0$$

$$\text{LS in } y_t = f_t(y; \theta, \varepsilon_0) + \varepsilon_t$$

i) inside the classical NLS set-up that you have learned in previous econometric courses. In fact because we can obtain an initial consistent estimator of  $\theta^0$  we will obtain our asymptotic results from a one-step minimization procedure (one-step Gauss-Newton estimator)

Let's assume we have an initial estimator  $\tilde{\theta}$  satisfying  $\tilde{\theta} - \theta = o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{4}})$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = O_p(1)$

The one-step Gauss-Newton estimator of  $\theta$  is obtained by regressing

$$\varepsilon_t(y; \tilde{\theta}) = y_t - f_t(y; \tilde{\theta}; \hat{\varepsilon}_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-\tilde{\theta})^j y_{t-j} + (-\tilde{\theta})^t \tilde{\varepsilon}_0$$

on the first derivatives of  $f_t(y; \theta, \varepsilon_0)$  evaluated at  $\theta = \tilde{\theta}$ , that derivative is

$$W_t(y; \tilde{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 & t=1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} j(-\tilde{\theta})^{j-1} y_{t-j} + t(-\tilde{\theta})^{t-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_0; & t=2, 3, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

Regressing  $\varepsilon_t(y; \tilde{\theta})$  on  $W_t(y; \tilde{\theta})$  we obtain an estimator of  $\theta - \tilde{\theta}$ . The improved estimator of  $\theta$  is then

$$\hat{\theta} = \tilde{\theta} + \Delta \hat{\theta} \quad (*)$$

$$\text{where } \Delta \hat{\theta} = \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n [W_t(y; \tilde{\theta})]^2 \right]^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t(y; \tilde{\theta}) W_t(y; \tilde{\theta})$$

## Ihm (Asymptotic Normality for MA(1))

Let  $y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta^0 \epsilon_{t-1}$ , where  $|\theta^0| < 1$ ,  $\epsilon_t$  iid  $(0, \sigma^2)$  with  $E\{|\epsilon_t|^{2+r}\} < L < \infty$  for some  $r > 0$ .

Let  $\tilde{\epsilon}_0$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  be initial estimators satisfying  $\tilde{\epsilon}_0 = O_p(1)$ ,  $\tilde{\theta} - \theta = O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{4}})$ , and  $|\tilde{\theta}| < 1$ .

Then

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta} - \theta^0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1 - (\theta^0)^2)$$

where  $\hat{\theta}$  is defined in (\*). Also,

$\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{P} (\bar{\sigma}^0)^2$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}^0$  is the true value of  $\sigma$  and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n \epsilon_t^2(y; \hat{\theta})}_{n}.$$

## The Hannan-Rissanen algorithm

$$(1) \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - P[y_t | y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots]$$

$$(2) \quad y_t = \theta \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} + u_t$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum y_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{\sum \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^2}$$

Check how good performs the alternative estimator.

## Box-Pierce with the true errors

Basic Idea: Use the correlations

$$\hat{\rho}_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t y_{t-i}}{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^2} \quad \text{to test}$$

$$H_0: y_t = \epsilon_t \text{ white noise}$$

By the CLT on correlations we

have  $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\rho}_k - \rho_k) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, W)$

Under  $H_0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\rho}_k) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_{K \times K})$$

Therefore

$$\left[ n \sum_{j=1}^k (\hat{\rho}_j)^2 \xrightarrow{d} \chi_k^2 \right] \equiv Q \quad \text{Box-pierce statistic}$$

If we had fitted an ARMA(p,q) the  
# of d.f. freedom =  $k - (p+q)$ .

This test is testing

$$H_0: \text{Cov}(y_t y_{t-j}) = 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, k$$

$$H_a: \text{Cov}(y_t y_{t-j}) \neq 0 \text{ for at least one } j.$$

A modification  $Q^* = n(n+2) \sum_{j=1}^k (n-j)^{-1} (\hat{\rho}_j)^2$  [Ljung-Box]

## Box-Pierce with sample autocorrelations calculated from residuals

A more realistic case is to assume that we have a model

$$Y_t = X_t' \beta + \varepsilon_t \quad t=1 \dots n$$

and we want to test if the errors from this model are white noise.

We do not observe the errors but the residuals  $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$ .

Before  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_j \equiv \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_0} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots) \\ \gamma_j \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-j} \end{array} \right.$

Now  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\rho}_j \equiv \frac{\hat{\gamma}_j}{\hat{\gamma}_0} \\ \hat{\gamma}_j \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j} \end{array} \right.$

Is it right to use  $\hat{\rho}_j$  (calculated from the residuals) instead of  $\rho_j$  and the residual-based Q statistics derived from  $\{\hat{\rho}_j\}$  for testing for serial correlation? YES,

but only if the regressors are strictly exogenous:  $E(\varepsilon_i | X) = 0 \quad i=1 \dots n$

This assumption is too strong for time series data. The strict exogeneity assumption implies that for any regressor  $k$ ,  $E(X_{jk}|\varepsilon_i) = 0$   $\forall i, j$  not only  $i=j$ . For instance an AR(1) model

$$y_i = \beta y_{i-1} + \varepsilon_i \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_i|\varepsilon_i] &= \beta E[y_{i-1}|\varepsilon_i] + E(\varepsilon_i) \\ &= E(\varepsilon_i) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

So the regressor  $y_{i-1}$  is not orthogonal to the past error term ( $y_i$  is the regressor for observation  $i+1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_j &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n [\varepsilon_t - x_t' (\hat{\beta} - \beta)] [\varepsilon_{t-j} - x_{t-j}' (\hat{\beta} - \beta)] \\ &= \gamma_j - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n (x_{t-j}' \varepsilon_t + x_t' \varepsilon_{t-j})' (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \\ &\quad + (\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j+1}^n x_t x_{t-j}' \right) (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \end{aligned}$$

If  $E(x_t \varepsilon_{t-j})$ ,  $E(x_{t+j}' \varepsilon_t)$ , and  $E(x_t x_{t-j}')$  are all finite, then because  $\hat{\beta} - \beta \xrightarrow{P} 0$ ,

we have

$$\hat{\gamma}_j - \gamma_j \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

However  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma}_j - \gamma_j) \not\rightarrow 0$ .

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\gamma}_j = \sqrt{n} \gamma_j - \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_{t-j} \varepsilon_t + x_t \varepsilon_{t-j})}_{\text{Op}(1)}' \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}_{\text{Op}(1)}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_{t-j}' \right) (\hat{\beta} - \beta)}_{\text{Op}(1)}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (x_{t-j} \varepsilon_t + x_t \varepsilon_{t-j}) \xrightarrow{P} E(x_{t-j} \varepsilon_t) + E(x_t \varepsilon_{t-j})$$

if all the  
regressors are  
strictly exogenous

What if the regressors are predetermined  
but not strictly exogenous?

Predetermined regressors :  $E(x_{ik} \varepsilon_i) = 0 \quad \forall i$   
 $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$

The AR(1) satisfies this assumption.

When the regressors are not strictly exogenous, we need to modify the Q statistic to restore its asymptotic distribution. For this purpose we will impose two restrictions,

(stronger form of predeterminedness)

$$E(\varepsilon_t | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots, x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots) = 0$$

(stronger form of homoskedasticity)

$$E(\varepsilon_t^2 | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots, x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots) = \sigma^2 > 0$$

Proposition (testing for serial correlation  
with predetermined regressors)

Suppose

$$y_t = \underset{1 \times 1}{x_t} \underset{1 \times k}{\beta} + \underset{k \times 1}{\varepsilon_t} \quad (t=1, 2, \dots, T)$$

with  $\{y_t, x_t\}_{k+1}$  jointly stationary and ergodic

- $E(x_t x_t') = \Sigma_{xx}'$  full rank

- $E(\varepsilon_t | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots, x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots) = 0$

- $E(\varepsilon_t^2 | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots, x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots) = \sigma^2 > 0$

Then

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\gamma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^4 (I_p - \Phi)) \text{ and}$$

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_p - \Phi)$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_p)', \quad \hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)'$$

and

$\Phi_{jk} (\equiv \phi_{jk})$  element of the  $p \times p$  matrix  $\Phi$ )

is given by

$$\Phi_{jk} = E(x_t \varepsilon_{t-j})' E(x_t x_t')^{-1} E(x_t \varepsilon_{t-k}) / \sigma^2$$

Proof: Hayashi (pg 165)

By the Ergodic Theorem, matrix  $\Phi$  is consistently estimated by its sample counterpart

$$\hat{\Phi} = (\hat{\phi}_{jk}), \quad \hat{\phi}_{jk} = \hat{\mu}_j^{-1} S_{xx}^{-1} \hat{\mu}_k / s^2 \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, p)$$

where

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t^2; \quad \hat{\mu}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^T x_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j}$$

From last proposition we have

modified Box-Pierce  $Q = n \hat{g}' (\mathbf{I}_p - \hat{\Phi})^{-1} \hat{g} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(p)$

### An Auxiliary Regression-Based Test

Regress  $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$  on  $x_t, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-2}, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-p}$

$$p F \sim \chi^2(p)$$

The F statistic for the hypothesis that the  $p$  coefficients of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-2}, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-p}$  are all zero.

and

$$n R^2 \sim \chi^2(p) \text{ too.}$$