<u>Universidad</u> Carlos III de Madrid	-	Exercise Points	1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Department of Economics	Ma	Mathematics I Final Exam January 11th 20:							
		Exam ti	ime: 2	hours					
LAST NAME:		FIRST NAME:							
ID: DEGREE:		GROUP:							

- (1) Let $p(x) = 13 \beta x$ be the inverse demand function and $C(x) = 16 + x + x^2$ be the cost function of a monopolistic firm, with $\beta > 0$.
 - (a) Calculate the value of β such that the firm's profits are maximized at $x^* = 3$.
 - (b) Show that the minimum average cost is obtained at a higher level of production \tilde{x} .
 - (c) If the regulator forces the firm to produce at its minimum average cost, with the value of β found in part (a), what will be the compensation that the firm will demand?

0.4 points part a); 0.4 points part b); 0.2 points part c).

- (a) The profit function is $B(x) = (13 \beta x)x 16 x x^2$. Then $B'(x) = 13 2\beta x 1 2x = 12 2(\beta + 1)x$ and $B''(x) = -2(\beta + 1) < 0$, i.e., B(x) is a concave function. Then, $x^* = 3$ solves B'(x) = 0, i.e., $12 - 2(\beta + 1)3 = 0 \implies \beta = 1$.
- (b) As $C(x) = 16 + x + x^2$, the average cost is $AC(x) = \frac{16}{x} + 1 + x$, with $AC'(x) = -\frac{16}{x^2} + 1$ and $AC''(x) = \frac{32}{x^3} > 0$, i.e., AC is a convex function. Then \tilde{x} such that $AC'(\tilde{x}) = 0$ minimizes firm's average cost: $\tilde{x} = 4 > x^* = 3$
- (c) Substituting $x^* = 3$ and $\beta = 1$ into profits, we get $B^* = 2$. Substituting $\tilde{x} = 4$ and $\beta = 1$ into profits, we get $\tilde{B} = 0$.

So the compensation that the firm will demand will be, at least, 2 monetary units.

- (2) Given the implicit function y = f(x), defined by the equation $2xy e^y + x^2 = 0$ in a neighbourhood of the point x = 1, y = 0, it is asked:
 - (a) find the tangent line and the second-order Taylor Polynomial of the function f at a = 1.
 - (b) approximately sketch the graph of the function f near the point x = 1.
 - (c) approximately sketch the graph of the inverse function of f.
 - (*Hint for part (b) and (c): use* f'(1) < 0, f''(1) > 0).
 - 0.4 points part a); 0.2 points part b); 0.4 points part c).
 - (a) First of all, we notice that (1,0) is a solution of the equation and the first-order derivative of the equation with respect to the implicit variable y: 2x e^y, at the point x = 1, y = 0 satisfies the condition 2 1 ≠ 0, so the equation can define an implicit function y = f(x) near the point x = 1, y = 0. Secondly, we calculate the first-order derivative of the equation: 2y+2xy' y'e^y + 2x = 0 evaluating at x = 1, y(1) = 0 we obtain: y'(1) = f'(1) = -2. Then the equation of the tangent line is: y = P₁(x) = 0 2(x 1) or y = -2x + 2. Analogously, we calculate the second-order derivative of the equation: 2y' + 2y' + 2xy'' y''e^y y''e^y y''e^y = 0 = 100 + 1

 $(y')^2 e^y + 2 = 0$ evaluating at x = 1, y(1) = 0, y'(1) = -2 we obtain: y''(1) = f''(1) = 10. Therefore, the second-order Taylor Polynomial is: $y = P_2(x) = 0 - 2(x-1) + 5(x-1)^2 = -2(x-1) + 5(x-1)^2$

- (b) Using the second-order Taylor Polynomial, the approximate graph of the function f, near the point x = 1, will be as you can see in the figure underneath.
- (c) The graph of the inverse function $f^{-1}(x)$, will exist in a neighbourhood of the point (0, 1). Using symmetry with respect to the principal diagonal (y = x), the tangent line to the inverse function at (0, 1) has slope $-\frac{1}{2}$ and its equation is $y = -\frac{1}{2}x + 1$. Therefore, the approximate graph
 - of $f^{-1}(x)$ can also be seen in the same figure below.



(3) Consider the function $f(x) = \frac{\ln x}{\sqrt[3]{x}}$, defined on the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then:

- (a) find its asymptotes, the intervals where the function f(x) is increasing/decreasing, and its global extreme points.
- (b) find the range and sketch the graph of the function.
- (c) state the Weierstrass' theorem. Now, consider the new function $f_b(x)$ as f(x) restricted on the interval $[b, \infty)$, where b > 0. Discuss for which values of b the thesis (or conclusion) of the Weierstrass' theorem is satisfied.

0.4 points part a); 0.3 points part b); 0.3 points part c)

(a) First of all, since f(x) is continuous in its domain we only need to look for asymptotes at 0 and ∞ . $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) = \frac{-\infty}{0^+} = -\infty, \text{ then } f(x) \text{ has a vertical asymptote at } x = 0.$ $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \frac{\infty}{\infty} = (\text{using L'Hopital}) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1/x}{x^{-2/3}/3} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{3}{x^{1/3}} = 0$ then f(x) has a horizontal asymptote y = 0 at ∞ . Since,

$$f'(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{x} \cdot x^{1/3} - (\ln x)x^{-2/3}/3}{x^{2/3}} = \frac{3x^{-2/3} - (\ln x)x^{-2/3}}{3x^{2/3}} = \frac{3 - \ln x}{3x^{4/3}},$$

we know that $x = e^3$ is the unique critical point.

Calculating f'(1) > 0, then f'(x) > 0 if $x \in (0, e^3)$, and f(x) is increasing on $(0, e^3]$.

Calculating $f'(e^4) < 0$, then f'(x) < 0 if $x \in (e^3, \infty)$, then f(x) is decreasing on $[e^3, \infty)$.

Obviously, $x = e^3$ is the global maximizer of f(x) and f(x) has not global minimizer or minimum point.

(b) Based on the above, the maximum value of the function is $f(e^3) = \frac{3}{e}$ and since $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) = -\infty$, using the Intermediate Value theorem for continuous functions, we can deduce that the range of f(x) is $(-\infty, \frac{3}{e}]$.

Therefore, The graph of f(x) will have an appearance, approximately, similar to the one in the figure underneath.

(c) We have seen that f(x) is increasing on $(0, e^3]$, decreasing on $[e^3, \infty)$ and also, $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0^+$. Then, whenever y = 0 belongs to the range of $f_b(x)$ the conclusion of the Weierstrass' theorem will be satisfied. Bearing in mind that f(1) = 0, we obtain two cases to discuss:

i) if $b \leq 1 \implies \min(f_b) = f(b)$, $\max(f_b) = \frac{3}{e}$ then the conclusion of the Weierstrass' theorem will be satisfied.

ii) if $b > 1 \implies \min(f_b)$ does not exist, and the conclusion of the theorem is not satisfied.

Again, have a Look at the graph of the function.



(4) Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{a(x-1)} & , x \leq 1 \\ \frac{b}{2x} & , x > 1 \end{cases}$ be a piecewise-defined function on \mathbb{R} where a < 0, b > 0, it is asked:

- (a) state the Mean Value theorem (or Lagrange) for a function defined on [0, 2].
- (b) find the values of a, b for the function f, so the hypothesis or initial conditions of the theorem are satisfied on [0, 2].
- (c) suppose that $a = -\ln 2$ and b = 2. Is the thesis or conclusion of the theorem satisfied for the function f on [0, 2]?

(Hint for part c: In order to find the number or point c of the conclusion, start finding it in the interval (1, 2)).

0.2 points part a); 0.6 points part b); 0.2 points part c)

(a) The hypothesis are f is continuous on [0, 2] and derivable on (0, 2).

The thesis or conclusion is that there exists a point $c \in (0,2)$ such that f'(c) = (f(2) - f(0))/2.

(b) First of all, we need that f(x) is continuous on x = 1. $\lim_{x \to -1^+} f(x) = b/2$; $f(1) = \lim_{x \to -1^-} f(x) = 1$ so, f(x) is continuous at x = 1 if b = 2.

Secondly, supposing f continuous at x = 1, the function will be derivable at x = 1 when: $f'(1^+) = f'(1^-)$. Then, we obtain:

- i) $f'(1^+) = \lim_{x \to 1^+} f'(x) = \lim_{x \to 1^+} \frac{-b}{2x^2} = \frac{-b}{2} = -1;$ ii) $f'(1^-) = a$, since $f'(x) = ae^{a(x-1)}.$

Finally, the Lagrange's theorem is satisfied when: b = 2, a = -1.



(c) The thesis or conclusion is that there is a number $c \in (0,2)$ such that 2f'(c) = f(2) - f(0), this is: i) if $c > 1, -2/c^2 = 1/2 - e^{\ln 2} = 1/2 - 2 = -3/2$ then $c^2 = 4/3 > 1 \implies c = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} > 1$, and the thesis of the theorem is satisfied.

ii) meanwhile, for the case $c \leq 1$ there is no need to be studied.



- (5) Given the functions $f, g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by: $f(x) = \ln(2-x), g(x) = 1 + e^{-2x}$, then:
 - (a) sketch the set of points A delimited by the graph of the functions f(x), g(x) and the vertical straight lines x = 0, x = 1. Find, if they exist, maximal and minimal elements, the maximum and the minimum of A.
 - (b) calculate the area of the given set. (*Hint for part (a): Pareto's order is defined:* $(x_0, y_0) \leq_P (x_1, y_1) \iff x_0 \leq x_1, y_0 \leq y_1$).

0.4 points part a); 0.6 points part b)

(a) First of all, we can observe that both functions are positive and increasing on [0, 1], and f(x) < 1 < g(x) on the interval.

Furthermore, the line y = 0 intersect the graph of f(x) when x = 1. Therefore, the draw of A will be approximately like,



Then, Pareto order describes the set properties:

 $\max(A)$ does not exist, $\max(A) = \{(x, g(x)) : 0 \le x \le 1\}$, $\min(A)$ does not exist and $\min(A) = \{(x, f(x)) : 0 \le x \le 1\}$.

(b) First of all, looking at the position of the graphs we know that:

 $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{area}(\mathbf{A}) &= \int_{0}^{1} (g(x) - f(x)) dx. \\ \operatorname{Integrating by parts, } \int f(x) dx &= \int 1 \cdot f(x) dx = x \ln(2-x) - \int x \frac{(-1)}{2-x} dx = x \ln(2-x) + \int \frac{x-2+2}{2-x} dx = x \ln(2-x) + \int (-1) (-2) \frac{(-1)}{2-x} dx = x \ln(2-x) - x - 2 \ln(2-x) \\ \operatorname{then applying Barrow's Rule we obtain:} \\ &\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = [(x-2) \ln(2-x) - x]_{0}^{1} = -1 - (-2 \ln 2) = -1 + 2 \ln 2. \\ \operatorname{Secondly, since } \int g(x) dx = \int (1 + e^{-2x}) dx = x - \frac{1}{2} \int (-2) e^{-2x} dx = x - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2x}, \\ \operatorname{then applying Barrow's Rule we obtain:} \\ &\int_{0}^{1} g(x) dx = [x - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2x}]_{0}^{1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2} - (-\frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2} + \frac{3}{2}. \\ \operatorname{Therefore,} \\ \operatorname{area}(\mathbf{A}) &= \int_{0}^{1} g(x) dx - \int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2} + \frac{3}{2} - (-1 + 2 \ln 2) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2} + \frac{5}{2} - 2 \ln 2 \text{ area units.} \end{aligned}$