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Consider the following normal form game:

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 1,0 | 0,2 | 4,10 |
| $B$ | 2,25 | 2,20 | 4,0 |
| $C$ | 0,10 | $-1,3$ | $3,-2$ |
|  |  |  |  |

(a) What are the strategies that survive the iterated elimination of strictly dominated strategies?

Strategy $C$ is dominated by strategy $A$ for player 1. After eliminating this strategy we obtain the following game

|  |  | $X$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Z$ |  |  |
| $A$ | 1,0 | 0,2 | 4,10 |
| $B$ | 2,25 | 2,20 | 4,0 |
|  |  |  |  |

(b) Find all pure strategy Nash equilibria and the payoffs of these equilibria. The best responses of the players are

|  |  | $X$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z$ |  |  |  |
| $A$ | 1,0 | 0,2 | $\underline{4}, \underline{10}$ |
| $B$ | $\underline{2}, \underline{25}$ | $\underline{2}, 20$ | $\underline{4}, 0$ |

Hence, the NE are $(A, Z)$, with payoffs $u_{1}=4, u_{2}=10$ and $(B, X)$, with payoffs $u_{1}=2, u_{2}=25$.
(c) Compute the mixed strategy Nash equilibria and the expected payoffs of these equilibria. Let us look for a NE of the form $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ with

$$
\sigma_{1}=p A+(1-p) B, \quad \sigma_{2}=q_{1} X+q_{2} Y+\left(1-q_{1}-q_{2}\right) Z
$$

We compute the expected utilities of the players

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}\left(A, \sigma_{2}\right) & =4-3 q_{1}-4 q_{2} \\
u_{1}\left(B, \sigma_{2}\right) & =4-2 q_{1}-2 q_{2} \\
u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, X\right) & =25-25 p \\
u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, Y\right) & =20-18 p \\
u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, Z\right) & =10 p
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose first that $p=0$ or $p=1$. If $p=0$, then the best reply of player 2 is to choose $X$ and if $p=1$, then the best reply of player 2 is to choose $Z$. These NE correspond to the pure strategy NE found in part (b).

Let us look now for a NE in which player 1 uses a completely mixed strategy, $0<p<1$. Note that $u_{1}\left(B, \sigma_{2}\right)-$ $u_{1}\left(A, \sigma_{2}\right)=2 q_{2}+q_{1} \geq 0$. Hence, $u_{1}\left(B, \sigma_{2}\right) \geq u_{1}\left(A, \sigma_{2}\right)$ for every $0 \leq q_{1}, q_{2} \leq 1$ and $u_{1}\left(B, \sigma_{2}\right)>u_{1}\left(A, \sigma_{2}\right)$, unless $q_{1}=q_{2}=0$. We conclude that player 1 can be indifferent between strategies $A$ and $B$ only if player 2 is using strategy $Z$. That is only if $q_{1}=q_{2}=0$.
On the other hand, strategy $Z$ is a best reply of player 2 to the strategy $\sigma_{1}$ of player 1 if and only if $u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, Z\right) \geq$ $u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, X\right)$ and $u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, Z\right) \geq u_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, Y\right)$. That is, only if

$$
10 p \geq 25-25 p \quad \text { and } \quad 10 p \geq 20-18 p
$$

which occurs if and only if

$$
p \geq \frac{5}{7}
$$

Hence, there are infinitely many mixed strategy equilibria of the form

$$
(p A+(1-p) B, Z) \quad \frac{5}{7} \leq p \leq 1
$$

The payoffs are $u_{1}=4, u_{2}=10 p$.

