## Master in Economics

## UNIVERSITY CARLOS III Master in Industrial Economics and Markets Game Theory. Final Exam January 24th, 2018

TIME: 2 hours. Write your answers in this booklet.

NAME:

## Problem 1:

**Problem 2:** Consider the following normal form game.

	A	B	C
A	$^{7,7}$	$1,\!10$	1,1
B	10, 1	$^{4,4}$	1,1
C	1, 1	1, 1	2, 2

(1) Find the Nash equilibria in pure strategies.

**Solution:** There are two NE in pure strategies (B, B) and (C, C).

- (2) Suppose that the above game is played two times. Can you find a sub-game perfect NE of the repeated game with the following properties?
  - (a) no mixed strategies of the stage game are used.
  - (b) (A, A) is played in the first stage.

**Solution:** We try the trigger strategy. Each player i = 1, 2 plays the following

- At t = 1 play A.
- At t = 2 play B if (A, A) was played at stage t = 1. Otherwise, play C.

If both players follow the grim strategy their payoffs are  $u_1^* = u_2^* = 7 + 4 = 11$ . We check if the above strategy constitutes a NE of the whole game. No player has incentives to deviate at t = 2, since the strategy proposed is a NE of the stage game.

If say player i = 1, 2 deviates at t = 1 and the other player  $j \neq i$  follows the grim strategy, the payoff for player i is  $u_i = 10 + 2 = 12$ . Hence, both players have incentive to deviate. Therefore, the grim strategy is not a NE of the repeated game. The answer to the question is no.

(3) Suppose that the above game is played three times. Can you find a sub-game perfect NE of the repeated game in which (A, A) is played in the first stage?

**Solution:** We try the trigger strategy. Each player i = 1, 2 plays the following • At t = 1 play A.

- At t = 2 play B if (A, A) was played at stage t = 1. Otherwise, play C.
- At t = 3 play B if (A, A) was played at stage t = 1 and (B, B) was played at stage t = 2. Otherwise, play C.

If both players follow the grim strategy their payoffs are  $u_1^* = u_2^* = 7 + 4 + 4 = 15$ . We check if the above strategy constitutes a NE of the whole game. No player has incentives to deviate at t = 1, 2, since the strategy proposed plays a NE of the stage game in each period.

If say player i = 1, 2 deviates at t = 1 and the other player  $j \neq i$  follows the grim strategy, the payoff for player i is at most  $u_i = 10 + 2 + 2 = 14$ . Hence, no player has incentives to deviate. Therefore, the grim strategy is a SPNE of the repeated game. The answer to the question is yes.

## Problem 3:

**Problem 4:** Consider the following signalling game. There are two types of player 1,  $t = t_1$  and  $t = t_2$ .



(1) One of the types of player 1 has a dominating strategy. Which one?

**Solution:** If player  $t_1$  plays L his maximum payoff would be 2. If he plays R his minimum payoff is 3. Hence, R is a dominant strategy for player  $t_1$ . We will assume that in any PBNE,  $\sigma(t_1) = R$ .

(2) Compute all the separating perfect Bayesian Nash equilibria (in pure strategies) of the above game. Write the separating PBNE, including the beliefs of player 2. Justify your answer.

**Solution:** By part (1) the only candidate for a separating PBNE is  $\sigma(t_1) = R$ ,  $\sigma(t_2) = L$ . In this equilibrium the beliefs of player 2 are

$$\mu_2(c|R) = 1, \mu_2(b|L) = 1$$

Given these beliefs, the best reply of player 2 is

$$BR_2(R|\mu_2) = \{u\}, \quad BR_2(L|\mu_2) = \{d\}$$

Graphically,



Now, anticipating that player 2 will play  $\sigma_2(R) = \{u\}$  and  $\sigma_2(L) = \{d\}$ , the optimal strategy for player 1 is to choose  $\sigma(t_1) = R$ ,  $\sigma(t_2) = \{L, R\}$ . We conclude that the following is a PBNE.

$\sigma(t_1)$	=	R,	$\sigma(t_2) = L$
$\sigma_2(R)$	=	u,	$\sigma_2(L) = d$
$\mu_2(a L)$	=	0,	$\mu_2(b L) = 1$
$\mu_2(c R)$	=	1,	$\mu_2(d R) = 0$

(3) Compute all the pooling perfect Bayesian Nash equilibria (in pure strategies) of the above game. Write the pooling PBNE, including the beliefs of player 2. Justify your answer.

**Solution:** By part (1) the only candidate for a pooling PBNE is  $\sigma(t_1) = R$ ,  $\sigma(t_2) = R$ . In this equilibrium the beliefs of player 2 are

$$\mu_2(a|L) = x, \quad \mu_2(b|L) = 1 - x$$
  
$$\mu_2(c|R) = 0.4, \quad \mu_2(d|R) = 0.6$$

Given these beliefs, the expected utilities of player 2, given R, are

$$u_2(u|R) = 0.4 \times 4 + 0.6 \times 0 = 1.6$$
  
$$u_2(d|R) = 0.4 \times 1 + 0.6 \times 1 = 1$$

Hence,  $BR_2(R|\sigma_1, \mu_2) = \{u\}$ . Graphically,



On the other hand, the expected utilities of player 2, given L, are

$$u_2(u|L) = x \times 1 + (1-x) \times 0 = x$$
  
$$u_2(d|R) = x \times 0 + (1-x) \times 1 = 1 - x$$

Hence,

$$BR_2(R) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } x > 1/2\\ u, d & \text{if } x = 1/2\\ d & \text{if } x < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

Suppose x > 1/2. Then BR<sub>2</sub>(R) = u and player  $t_2$  would like to deviate from the strategy  $\sigma(t_2) = R$  to  $\sigma(t_2) = L$ . We conclude that there there is no pooling equilibrium with x > 1/2.

On the other hand, if  $x \leq 1/2$ , then d is a best reply for player 2 and player 1 has no incentives to deviate from the strategy  $\sigma(t_1) = R$ ,  $\sigma(t_2) = R$ . We see that the following is are PBNE for each value  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t_1) &= R, \sigma(t_2) = R \\ \sigma_2(R) &= u, \sigma_2(L) = d \\ \mu_2(a|L) &= x, \mu_2(b|L) = 1 - x \\ \mu_2(c|R) &= 0.4, \mu_2(d|R) = 0.6 \end{aligned}$$

(4) Imagine player  $t = t_1$  follows the mixed stragey xL + (1-x)R and player  $t = t_2$  follows the mixed strategy yL + (1-y)R with 0 < x, y < 1. What are the consistent beliefs of player 2?

Solution: Note that

$$p(a) = 0.4x$$
  $p(b) = 0.6y$ ,  $p(c) = 0.4(1-x)$ ,  $p(d) = 0.6(1-y)$ 

Therefore

$$\mu_2(a|L) = \frac{p(a)}{p(a) + p(b)} = \frac{2x}{2x + 3y} \quad \mu_2(b|L) = \frac{p(p)}{p(a) + p(b)} = \frac{3y}{2x + 3y}$$
$$\mu_2(c|R) = \frac{p(c)}{p(c) + p(d)} = \frac{2(1 - x)}{5 - 2x - 3y} \quad \mu_2(d|R) = \frac{p(d)}{p(c) + p(d)} = \frac{3(1 - y)}{5 - 2x - 3y}$$