### Macro 3

#### Solving linear rational expectation models

#### Hernán D. Seoane

UC3M

November 5, 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Today's lecture

Approximating DSGE models: Solving LREM

Determinacy and existence of equilibrium

Implementation: Schmitt-Grohe and Uribe (2004)

Implementation: Dynare

Implementation: Sims (2000)

This notes will explain the implementation in Schmitt-Grohe and Uribe (2004)

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

I follow Martín's notes and paper

Equilibrium conditions of DSGE: system of nonlinear stochastic difference equations

We cannot solve those systems exactly

We need to work with approximations

Approximations at a particular point: non-stochastic steady state.

Today: how to solve these systems

How to work with these systems (compute means, variances, correlations, autocorrelations and impulse response functions)

### Take again the baseline NGM

$$c_t^{-\gamma} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ c_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \left( \alpha A_{t+1} k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta \right) \right]$$
$$c_t + k_{t+1} = A_t k_t^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta) k_t$$
$$ln(A_{t+1}) = \rho ln(A_t) + \sigma \epsilon_{t+1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

for all  $t \ge 0$ , given  $k_0$  and  $A_0$ .

The equilibrium conditions of our models can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}_t[f(y_{t+1}, y_t, x_{t+1}, x_t)] = 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_t$  is the expectation operator conditional on the information set available at time *t* 

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[f(y_{t+1}, y_{t}, x_{t+1}, x_{t})] = \mathbb{E}_{t} \begin{bmatrix} y_{t}^{-\gamma} - \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ y_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \left( \alpha e^{x_{2t+1}} x_{1t+1}^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta \right) \right] \\ y_{t} + x_{1t+1} - e^{x_{2t}} x_{1t}^{\alpha} - (1 - \delta) x_{1t} \\ x_{2t+1} - \rho x_{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $x_t = [k_t; ln(A_t)]'$  is a vector of predetermined variables

 $y_t = c_t$  is the vector of non-predetermined variables

Initial condition  $x_0$  for the predetermined variables and also the no-Ponzi game constraint.

The vector of predetermined variables,  $x_t$  can be partitioned as

$$x_t = [x_t^1; x_t^2]'$$

 $x_t^1$  are endogenous predetermined variables

 $x_t^2$  are exogenous predetermined variables

Assume  $x_t^2$  follows the following stochastic process

$$x_{t+1}^2 = \tilde{h}(x_t^2, \sigma) + \tilde{\eta}\sigma\epsilon_{t+1}$$

About the dimensions.  $x_t$  is of size  $n_x$ 

 $y_t$  is of size  $n_y$ 

Define  $n = n_x + n_y$ 

Note that f maps  $R^{n_y} \times R^{n_y} \times R^{n_x} \times R^{n_x}$  into  $R^n$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●



About  $x_t^2$  and  $\epsilon_t$ 

Both are of dimensions  $n_{\epsilon} \times 1$ 

 $\epsilon_t$  is i. i. d. with mean 0 and variance equal to 1

 $\sigma$  is a positive scalar and  $\tilde{\eta}$  is a matrix  $n_{\epsilon} \times n_{\epsilon}$ 

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### A solution to this model is of the following form

$$y_t = \hat{g}(x_t)$$

#### and

$$x_{t+1} = \hat{h}(x_t) + \eta \sigma \epsilon_{t+1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

where  $\eta = [0; \tilde{\eta}]'$ 

Now the shape of  $\hat{g}$  and  $\hat{h}$  depend on the degree of uncertainty of the economy

$$y_t = g(x_t, \sigma)$$

and

$$x_{t+1} = h(x_t, \sigma) + \eta \sigma \epsilon_{t+1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

where  $\eta = [0; \tilde{\eta}]'$ 



# The perturbation method will find a local approximation of *g* and *h* around $(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})$

Note, the solution will be valid only around the approximation point!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Set  $n_x = n_y = 1$ 

By Taylor's theorem

$$g(x,\sigma) = g(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma}) + g_x(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(x-\bar{x}) + g_\sigma(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(\sigma-\bar{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2}g_{xx}(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(x-\bar{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_{x\sigma}(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(x-\bar{x})(\sigma-\bar{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\sigma}(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(\sigma-\bar{\sigma})^2 + \dots$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

and

$$h(x,\sigma) = h(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma}) + h_x(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(x-\bar{x}) + h_\sigma(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(\sigma-\bar{\sigma}) +$$
  
+ 
$$\frac{1}{2}h_{xx}(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(x-\bar{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}h_{x\sigma}(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(x-\bar{x})(\sigma-\bar{\sigma}) +$$
  
+ 
$$\frac{1}{2}h_{\sigma\sigma}(\bar{x},\bar{\sigma})(\sigma-\bar{\sigma})^2 + \dots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶



The unknowns of an nth order expansion are the n order derivatives of *g* and *h*, always evaluated at the point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{\sigma})$ 



To find these unknowns, note that

$$F(x,\sigma) = E_t[f(g(h(x,\sigma) + \eta\sigma\epsilon', \sigma), g(x,\sigma), h(x,\sigma) + \eta\sigma\epsilon', x)],$$
$$F(x,\sigma) = 0,$$

As  $F(x, \sigma) = 0$  for any  $(x, \sigma)$ , all its derivatives are equal to zero.

$$F_{x^k,\sigma^j}(x,\sigma)=0,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Approximate around the non-stochastic steady state,  $x = \bar{x}$  and  $\sigma = 0$ 

This implies 
$$y = \overline{y} = g(\overline{x}, 0)$$
 and  $x = \overline{x} = h(\overline{x}, 0)$ 

Here note that:  $f(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}) = 0$  and  $E_t f = f$ 

Good news is that we can solve for the non-stochastic steady state in most models (algebraically or numerically)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Around the non-stochastic steady state, the first order approximations are

$$g(x,\sigma) = g(\bar{x},0) + g_x(\bar{x},0)(x-\bar{x}) + g_\sigma(\bar{x},0)\sigma$$
$$h(x,\sigma) = h(\bar{x},0) + h_x(\bar{x},0)(x-\bar{x}) + h_\sigma(\bar{x},0)\sigma$$

We know that  $\bar{y} = g(\bar{x}, 0)$  and  $\bar{x} = h(\bar{x}, 0)$ . Now we need to find the remaining coefficients

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Let's find first  $h_{\sigma}$  and  $g_{\sigma}$  Note

$$F_{\sigma}(\bar{x},0) = E_t f_{y'}[g_x(h_{\sigma} + \eta \epsilon') + g_{\sigma}] + f_y g_{\sigma} + f_{x'}(h_{\sigma} + \eta \epsilon')$$
$$F_{\sigma}(\bar{x},0) = f_{y'}[g_x h_{\sigma} + g_{\sigma}] + f_y g_{\sigma} + f_{x'} h_{\sigma}$$

But  $F_{\sigma}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$  This is a set of *n* equations in *n* unknowns

#### We can write the system as Note

$$[f_{y'}g_x + f_{x'} \qquad f_{y'} + f_y] \left[ \begin{array}{c} h_{\sigma} \\ g_{\sigma} \end{array} \right] = 0$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Is linear, and homogeneous in  $h_{\sigma}$  and  $g_{\sigma}$  If a unique solution

exists,  $h_{\sigma} = 0$  and  $g_{\sigma} = 0$ 

What does this result say?

Up to first order, there is no need to correct the constant term of the approximation for the size of the variance shock

In other words, the ergodic mean of the linear approximation is the same as the steady state value

In other words, the linear approximation is certainty equivalent. Changes in the variance will not affect the policy functions up to the linear term!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Let's find first  $h_x$  and  $g_x$ 

Note

$$F_x(\bar{x},0) = f_{y'}g_xh_x + f_yg_x + f_{x'}h_x + f_x$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

But  $F_x(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$ 

This system is a set of  $n \times n_x$  quadratic equations in  $n \times n_x$  unknowns

We will find two solution, keep the stable one

Note

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{x'} & f_{y'} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_x \end{bmatrix} h_x = -\begin{bmatrix} f_x & f_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_x \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Denote  $A = \begin{bmatrix} f_{x'} & f_{y'} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = -\begin{bmatrix} f_x & f_y \end{bmatrix}$  Define  $\hat{x} \equiv x_t - \bar{x}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Note

$$A\begin{bmatrix}I\\g_x\end{bmatrix}h_x\hat{x}_t=B\begin{bmatrix}I\\g_x\end{bmatrix}\hat{x}_t$$

and

$$A\begin{bmatrix}I\\g_x\end{bmatrix}\hat{x}_{t+1}=B\begin{bmatrix}I\\g_x\end{bmatrix}\hat{x}_t$$

we want a stable solution, that is

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}|\hat{x}_t|<\infty$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



# We use Schur decomposition to find $g_x$ from the previous equation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Generalized Schur Decomposition

For matrix *A* and *B*,  $n \times n$  matrices the decomposition gives

- 1. QAZ = a is upper triangular
- 2. QBZ = b is upper triangular
- 3. For each *i*,  $a_{ii}$  and  $b_{ii}$  are not both zero

4. 
$$\lambda(A,B) = \left\{ \frac{b_{ii}}{a_{ii}} : a_{ii} \neq 0 \right\}$$

5. The pairs  $(a_{ii}, b_{ii})$  can be rearranged in any order for all *i* 

Here the  $\lambda$  are the generalized eigenvalues such that  $|\lambda_i| > 1$ will be called unstable *a* and *b* are upper triangular and *Q* and *Z* are orthonormal

We use Schur decomposition to find  $g_x$  from the previous equation

Schur decomposition is given by upper triangular matrices a and b and orthonormal matrices *Q* and *Z* such that

QAZ = a

$$QBZ = b$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Define 
$$s_t \equiv Z' \begin{bmatrix} I \\ g_x \end{bmatrix} \hat{x}_t$$

Hence, we can write our system as

 $as_{t+1} = bs_t$ 

We can partition the matrices as

 $a = \left| \begin{array}{c} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{array} \right|$  $b = \left| \begin{array}{cc} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ 0 & b_{22} \end{array} \right|$  $Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  $s = \begin{vmatrix} s^1 \\ s^2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

Here  $a_{22}$  and  $b_{22}$  have dimensions  $n_y \times n_y$ ,  $z_{12}$  is  $n_x \times n_y$  and  $s_t^2$  is  $n_y \times 1$ 

Hence

$$b_{22}^{-1}a_{22}s_{t+1}^2 = s_t^2$$

Notice this is linear difference equation in  $s^2$ Assume  $abs(a_{ii}/b_{ii})$  are decreasing in *i* and that the number of ratios less than 1 is  $n_y$  and the number of ratios larger than 1 is  $n_x$ 

Then, the eigenvalues of  $b_{22}^{-1}a_{22}$  are less than unity. The sequence is non explosive only if  $s_t^2 = 0$ 



By definition of  $s_t^2$ 

Hence

$$(z_{12}'+z_{22}'g_x)\hat{x}_t=0$$

From where we can get  $g_x$ !

$$g_x = -(z_{22}')^{-1} z_{12}'$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

$$s_t^2 = 0$$
 implies that  
 $a_{11}s_{t+1}^1 = b_{11}s_t^1$   
But  $s_t^1 = (z'_{11} + z'_{21}gx)\hat{x}_t = (z'_{11} - z'_{21}(z'_{22})^{-1}z'_{12})\hat{x}_t$ 

Hence

$$h_{x} = [z_{11}^{'} - z_{21}^{'}(z_{22}^{'})^{-1}z_{12}^{'}]^{-1}a_{11}^{-1}b_{11}[z_{11}^{'} - z_{21}^{'}(z_{22}^{'})^{-1}z_{12}^{'}]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

And we found  $g_x$  and  $h_x$ 

We knew  $g_{\sigma} = h_{\sigma} = 0$ 

We knew  $g(\bar{x}, 0) = \bar{y}$  and  $h(\bar{x}, 0) = \bar{x}$ 

Hence, we solved the model up to first order!

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Local existence and uniqueness of equilibrium

We made a big assumption. We assumed the number of eigenvalues computed from the Schur decomposition larger than 1 is equal to  $n_y$  and the number of eigenvalues smaller than 1 is equal to  $n_x$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

This implies the existence of unique local equilibrium

Local existence and uniqueness of equilibrium

Suppose the number of eigenvalues larger than 1 is  $m < n_y$ 

Hence, to study the solutions for which  $\lim_{t\to\infty} E_t |\hat{x}_{t+j}| < \infty$  we are now imposing *m* constraints, instead of  $n_y$ 

We don't have enough constraints, we could construct sequences of  $\hat{x}_t$  that are convergent for  $n_y - m$  arbitrarily chosen number of  $y_0$ 

Equilibrium is indeterminate. We have more than one saddle path!

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Local existence and uniqueness of equilibrium

Suppose the number of eigenvalues larger than 1 is  $m > n_y$ 

Hence, to study the solutions for which  $\lim_{t\to\infty} E_t |\hat{x}_{t+j}| < \infty$  we are now imposing *m* constraints, instead of  $n_y$ 

We impose too many constraints. We should fix  $y_0$  values to be over a saddle path. But this is not enough, we also need to determine  $m - n_y$ ,  $x_0$  values. But this is impossible, given that xare predetermined

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Equilibrium does not exist



Unconditional moments

We solved a model, and so what?

We need to analyze a model

Unconditional moments: standard errors, correlations autocorrelations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Covariance matrix

Recall

$$\hat{x}_{t+1} = h_x \hat{x}_t + \sigma \eta \epsilon_{t+1}$$

Covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_x \equiv E \hat{x}_t \hat{x}_t'$$
  
 $\Sigma_\epsilon \equiv E \sigma^2 \eta \eta'$ 

Then

$$\Sigma_x = h_x \Sigma_x h'_x + \Sigma_{\epsilon}$$

Which is a Lyapunov equation and can be solved with doubling algorithm

Other second order moments

Other moments

$$E_t \hat{x}_t \hat{x}'_{t-j} = E \left[ h_x^j \hat{x}_{t-j} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h_x^k \mu_{t-k} \right] \hat{x}'_{t-j}$$

Where  $\mu = \sigma \eta \epsilon_t$ , hence

$$E_t \hat{x}_t \hat{x}'_{t-j} = h_x^j E\left[\hat{x}_{t-j} \hat{x}'_{t-j}\right]$$
$$E_t \hat{x}_t \hat{x}'_{t-j} = h_x^j \Sigma_x$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥